Summary of mutagenicity screening studies, host-mediated assay cytogenetics dominant



BIONETICS

725

SUMMARY OF MUTAGENICITY
SCREENING STUDIES
HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY
CYTOGENETICS
DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY
CONTRACT FDA 71-268
COMPOUND FDA 71-53
POWDERED AGAR

GRM3

5516 Nicholson Lane Kensington, Maryland 20795 SUMMARY OF MUTAGENICITY
SCREENING STUDIES
HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY
CYTOGENETICS
DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY
CONTRACT FDA 71-268
COMPOUND FDA 71-53
POWDERED AGAR

SUBMITTED TO

FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE
ROCKYILLE, MARYLAND

SUBMITTED BY

LITTON BIONETICS, INC. 5516 NICHOLSON LANE KENSINGTON, MARYLAND

DECEMBER 10, 1974





December 10, 1974

Mr. Leonard Appleby, Contracting Officer Department of Health, Education and Welfare Public Health Service Food and Drug Administration, CA-212 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 5C-13 Rockville, Maryland 20852

Reference: Contract FDA 71-268; LBI Project #2446

Dear Mr. Appleby:

Litton Bionetics, Inc., is pleased to submit a report for the referenced contract entitled "Mutagenicity Screening Studies" for compound FDA 71-53, Powdered Agar.

Included in this report are the results and raw data of the three tests conducted: Host-Mediated Assay, Cytogenetic Studies and Dominant Lethal Assay. Eight (8) copies are being submitted for your review.

Upon completion of the toxicology work an evaluation was made of our results to those appearing in the literature. In cases where our values were lower, the toxicology was repeated. In some instances either the Host-Mediated Assay, Dominant Lethal Assay, and/or Cytogenetic Studies were also repeated at one or more levels to fulfill the requirements of the contract. In some cases, the acute and/or subacute assays were involved.

If there are any questions concerning this report, or, if additional information is required, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

LITTON BIONETICS, INC.

Robert J. Weir, Ph.D.

Vice President

RJW:11s Enclosures (8)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page	NO.
I. ,	REPORT			. 1	•
	Α.	Introdu	ction	. 1	
	В.		ve	. 2	•
	C.		id	ໍ ່ ຊ	
	U.	_ •	Test Material	• 5	
		1.			
	_	2.	Dosages		
	D.		,		
	Ε.	Summary	′		
		1.	Host-Mediated Assay		
		2.	Cytogenetics	. 4	
			a. In vivo	. 4	
			b. In vitro	. 5	
		3.	Dominant Lethal		
	F.		and Discussion	5	
	• •		Toxicity Data - Test I	. 5	
		1.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 5	
				. j	
			b. <u>In vitro</u>		
		_	c. Toxicity data sheets		
		2.	Host-Mediated Assay - Test I		
			a. Host-mediated assay summary sheets		
			b. Host-mediated assay data sheets		
		3.	Toxicity Data - Test II	. 44	
			a. Acute toxicity test	. 44	
			b. Subacute toxicity test	. 44	
			c. Toxicity data sheets		
		4.	Host-mediated assay - Test II		
		••	a. Host-mediated assay summary sheets		
			b. Host-mediated assay data sheets		
		5.	Cytogenetics - Test I	. 71	
		J.	a. In vivo		
			·		
					,
		_	c. Cytogenetic summary sheets	. / _	
		6.	Cytogenetics - Test II		
		_	Cytogenetic summary sheets	. 77	
		7.	Dominant Lethal Study - Test I		
			a. Acute study	. 80	
			b. Subacute study	. 80	ļ
			c. Dominant lethal assay summary		
			tables	. 81	
		8.	Dominant Lethal Study - Test II	. 98	j
			Dominant lethal assay summary		
			tables	. 99	j
				- -	
II.	MATERI.	ALS AND	METHODS	. 116	,)
	Α.	Animal	Husbandry	. 116	i i
		1.	Animals (Rats and Mice)	. 116	; ;
		2.	Preparation of Diet		
		3.	Husbandry		



TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

			Page	No.
II.	MATE	RIALS AND METHODS (continued)		
	В.	Dosage Determination	. 116	٠.
		Acute LD50 and LD5 Determination	. 116	
	•	2. Subacute Studies	. 118	
	C.	Mutagenicity Testing Protocols	118	
		. HOST-Mediated Assay	. 118	
		a. Acute study	119	
		b. Subacute study	121	
		c. <u>In vitro</u> study	. 121	
		2. Cytogenetic Studies	122	
		a. <u>In vivo</u> study	122	
		b. <u>In vitro</u> study	124	
	n	3. Dominant Lethal Assay	126	
	D.	Supplementary Materials and Methods	127	
		 Host-Mediated Assay In Vitro and Formulae. 	127	
•		a. Bacterial in vitro plate tests	127	
		D. In vitro for mitotic recombination.	127	
		c. Minimal medium (bacteria)	128	
		d. Complete medium (bacteria)	129	
		e. Complete medium (yeast)	129	
		2. Cytogenetics <u>In Vitro</u> Preparation of		
		Anaphase Chromosomes	130	
		Statistical Analyses of Dominant Lethal		
		Studies	131	
		a. The fertility index	131	
		b. Total number of implantations	131	
		c. Total number of corpora lutea	131	
		d. Preimplantation losses	131	
		e. Dead implants	132	
		f. One or more dead implants	132	
		g. Two or more dead implants	132	
	_	h. Dead implants per total implants	132	
	Ε.	Reterences	135	
	5 .	1. Host-Mediated Assay	135	
		2. Cytogenetics	135	
	_	3. Dominant Lethal	136	
	F.	Abbreviations	127	

I. REPORT

A. Introduction

Litton Bionetics, Inc. (LBI) has investigated the possible mutagenicity of compounds selected and provided by the Food and Drug Admin-istration under Contract 71-268. LBI's investigation utilized the three mammalian test systems herein described -- Host-Mediated Assay, Cytogenetic Studies and Dominant Lethal Assay. These tests provide information as to the types of genetic damage caused by environmental compounds -- pesticides, chemicals, food additives, drugs and cosmetics.

The Host-Mediated Assay is based upon the assumption that the action of a mutagen on the genetics of bacteria is similar to that in man. This is further strengthened by the use of an eukaryotic organism (Saccharomyces cerevisiae). Since the mutation frequencies are well established for the indicator organism, any deviation due to the action of the test compound is readily detectable. As some compounds are mutagenic in bacteria and not in the host animal, and vice versa, this test is able to differentiate an action which may have been due to hosts' ability to detoxify or potentiate a suspected mutagen. This action is dependent upon the ability of the compound to gain access to the peritoneal cavity. Coupled with the direct action of the compound on the indicator organism in vitro, the assay provides a clear insight into host-mediation of mutagenicity.

Cytogenetics provides a valuable tool for the direct observation of chromosomal damage in somatic cells. Alteration of the chromosome number and/or form in somatic cells may be an index of mutation. These studies utilized examination of bone marrow cells arrested in C-metaphase from rats exposed to the test compound as compared to positive and negative control animals. If mutational



changes occur, the types of damage expected due to the action of chemicals are structural rearrangements, breaks and other forms of damage to the chromosomal complement of the cells exposed.

For the <u>in vitro</u> cytogenetic studies, we have a more rapid and inexpensive means of determining chromosomal damage. This is accomplished by observing cells in anaphase. As the chromatids separate and move along the spindle, aberrations may occur. Chromatids which do not migrate to the daughter cells may lead to uneven distribution of parts or of entire chromatids (mitotic nondysjunction). These give rise to "side arm" bridges which have been interpreted as point stickiness or localized failures of chromosome duplication point errors. These aberrations (bridges, pseudochiasmata, multipolar cells, acentric fragments, etc.) are extremely sensitive indicators of genetic damage.

The Dominant Lethal Test is an accurate and sensitive measure of the amount and type of fetal wastage which may occur following administration of a potential mutagen. Dominant lethal mutations are indicators of lethal genetic lesions. The effects of mutagens on the chromosomal complement of the spermatozoa of treated males results in alterations of form and number of chromosomes. Structural rearrangements and aneuploidy may lead to the production of non-viable zygotes, early and late fetal deaths, abortions and congenital malformations. In addition, aberrations could lead to sterility or reduced reproductive capacity of the ${\sf F}_1$ generation. The action of a mutagen on specific portions of spermatogenesis is also apparent in this test.

B. <u>Objective</u>

The purpose of these studies is to determine any mutagenic effect of the test compound by employing the Host-Mediated Assay, Cytogenetic Studies



and the Dominant Lethal Assay, both <u>in vivo</u> and <u>in vitro</u> tests are employed with the cytogenetic and microbial test systems. These tests and their descriptions are referenced in the Appendices A through F.

C. Compound

Test Material

Compound FDA 71-53, Powdered Agar (Geldium), as supplied by the Food and Drug Administration.

2. Dosages

The animals employed, the determination of the dosage levels and the route of administration are contained in the technical discussion.

The dosage levels employed for compound FDA 71-53 are as follows for the Cytogenetic Studies $\underline{\text{in } \text{vivo}}$ in rats.

	Test I ⁺	Test II ⁺
Low Level Intermediate Level	7.15 mg/kg 71.5 mg/kg	
LD5 Negative Control	715.0 mg/kg Saline	5000.0 mg/kg Saline
Positive Control (TEM*)	0.3 mg/kg	0.3 mg/kg

The dosage levels employed for compound FDA 71-53 are as follows for the Host-Mediated Assay $\underline{\text{in vivo}}$ in mice.

•	Test I ⁺	Test II ⁺
Low Level Intermediate Level LD5 Negative Control Positive Control (EMS**)	7.15 mg/kg 71.5 mg/kg 715.00 mg/kg Saline 350 mg/kg	5000.0 mg/kg Saline 350 mg/kg
(DMN***)	100 mg/kg	100 mg/kg

^{*} Triethylene Melamine



^{**} Ethyl Methane Sulfonate

^{***} Dimethyl Nitrosamine

⁺ These two tests were performed at different time intervals.

The dosage levels employed for compound FDA 71-53 are as follows for the Dominant Lethal Assay $\underline{\text{in vivo}}$ in rats.

	Test I ⁺	Test II ⁺
Low Level	7.15 mg/kg	;;
Intermediate Level	71.5 mg/kg	
LD5 Negative Control	715.0 mg/kg Saline	5000.0 mg/kg Saline
Positive Control (TEM*)	0.3 mg/kg	0.3 mg/kg

The $\underline{\text{in}}\ \text{vitro}$ Cytogenetic Studies were performed employing three logarithmic dose levels.

Low Level	10.0 mcg/ml
Medium Level	100.0 mcg/m1
High Level	1000.0 mcg/m1
Negative Control	Saline
Positive Control (TEM*)	
. agratic control (154)	0.1 mcg/ml

The discussion of this test is contained in the technical discussion.

D. <u>Methods</u>

The protocols employed are explained in Appendices C and D.

E. Summary

1. Host-Mediated Assay

This compound is non-mutagenic at the dose levels used when tested against Salmonella TA-1530 and G-46 and Saccharomyces D3 in both the $\underline{in\ vivo}$ and $\underline{in\ vitro}$ systems.

Cytogenetics

a. <u>In vivo</u>

The compound produced no detectable significant aberration of the bone marrow metaphase chromosomes of rats when administered orally at the dosage levels employed in this study.

⁺These two tests were performed at different time intervals.



^{*}Triethylene Melamine

b. <u>In vitro</u>

The compound produced no significant aberration in the anaphase chromosomes of human tissue culture cells when tested at the dosage levels employed in this study.

Dominant Lethal

This compound was considered to be non-mutagenic in this assay system when used at the dosage levels employed in this study in rats.

F. Results and Discussion

Toxicity Data - Test I

a. <u>In vivo</u>

Compound FDA 71-53 was suspended in 0.85% saline and administered to ten male rats by intubation. The average weight of the animals was 250 grams and each received a dose of 5000 mg/kg. All animals were found dead within 24 hours. Necropsy indicated that the stomach was distended and the intestine contained a white frothy material.

Dose levels of 50, 100, 500, 1000, 2000 and 3000 mg/kg were selected to determine an acute $\rm LD_{50}$. The toxicity data is presented on the $\rm LD_{50}$ reporting form using the Litchfield-Wilcoxson method.

The LD $_{50}$ was determined as 1600 mg/kg. The LD $_{5}$ dose level was derived from the probit line. The dose levels used were LD $_{5}$ - 715 mg/kg, intermediate - 71.5 mg/kg and low - 7.15 mg/kg. The data on the dose levels, numbers of animals and necropsy findings are presented in the toxicity data sheets.

b. <u>In vitro</u>

The compound was suspended in 0.85% saline at the concentrations listed above. It was introduced into tubes containing WI-38 cells in a logarithmic phase of growth. The cells were observed for cytopathic effect



(CPE) and the presence of mitosis at 24 and 48 hours.

Tube No.	No. of Cells	Conc. mcg/ml	CPE	<u>Mitosis</u>
1	5 X 10 ⁵	10,000	+	
2	u	10.000	+	- ·
3	· ti	5,000	+	- '
4	n	5,000	+	
5	tt	1,000	-	+
6	u ,	1,000	-	+
7	ŧï	500	_	+
8	14	500	-	+
9	81	100	-	· +
10	u .	100	- ·	+

Since an inhibition of mitosis was observed, a closer range of concentrations was employed as follows.

1	5 x 10 ⁵	5,000	+	-
2	14	5,000	+	· •
3		4,000	+	<u>-</u>
4	u	4,000	+	
5	II	3,000	+	+ ;
6	u	3,000	+	+
7	Ħ	2,000	-	<u>+</u> .
8	u	2,000	-	+
9	B	1,000	-	+
10	II .	1,000		+

The 1000 mcg/ml concentration was used as the high level, 100 mcg/ml as the intermediate level and 10 mcg/ml as the low level.



C. TOXICITY DATA SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-53

POWDERED AGAR

TEST I



TOXICITY DATA

COMPOUND FDA 71-53

Solvent:

0.85% saline

Dosage Form: Suspension

Animals:

Male rats with an average body weight of 250 grams. All animals were observed for 10 days.

Range Finding:

	Dose mg/kg	<pre># Dead # Animals</pre>	Day of	Death and Necropsy
	5000	10/10	Day 1:	Distended stomach; white frothy material in intestine.
LD ₅₀ :				
	50	0/5	None	
	100	0/5	None	
	500	0/5	None	
	1000	1/5	Day 2:	Distended stomach; white frothy material in intestine.
	2000	3/5	Day 1:	Distended stomach; white frothy material in intestine.
	3000	5/5	Day 1:	Distended stomach; white forthy material in intestine.

LD50 REPORTING FORM USING LITCHFIELD-WILCOMON METHOD

DOSE EFFECT CURVE FOR _____ Compound FDA 71-53 Powdered Agar

DOSE	PROPORTION	ODSERVED PERCENT	EXPECTED	OBS-DMPC (PERCENC	CONTRIB.
500	. 0/5	0	0		
1000	1/5	20	14		
2000	· 3/5	60	72		
3000	5/5	100	94 ·		
				·	
		٠		·	

Total	animals	=	20	
-------	---------	---	----	--

(CHI)² for n of
$$k-2 = 5.99$$

$$(CHI)^2 = .835$$

$$LD_{84} = 2400$$

$$LD_{50} = _{1600}$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{\mathsf{D}\mathsf{16}} = 1100$$

fLD₅₀ = S
$$\frac{2.77}{\sqrt{N!}} = \frac{1.478}{\sqrt{N!}} = \frac{2.77}{\sqrt{N!}} = \frac{1.48}{\sqrt{10}} = \frac{2.77}{\sqrt{10}} = \frac{(1.48)^{.876}}{(1.48)^{.876}} = 1.41$$


$$LD_{50} \times feD_{50} = (1520)(1.41) = 2143$$

$$LD_{50} = (1520)/(1.41) = 1078$$

fLD₅₀

LD₅₀ and 19/20 Confidence Limits =
$$P = \frac{P}{1078 \le LD_{50} \le 2143} = .95$$

Attached should be a plot of the dose-effect curve on log-probit paper.



2. Host-Mediated Assay - Test I

Compound FDA 71-53 caused no significant increases in mutant or recombinant frequencies at the dose levels used when tested against Salmonella TA-1530 and G-46 and Saccharomyces D3, respectively in both the in vivo and in vitro systems.



Compound: FDA 71-53

Powerded Agar

			In Vivo	
Indicator Strain	In Vitro	Possible Low Recoveries	Controls	Other Comments
TA-1530	pos.	NC PC	NC OK	1. All doses negative
12/20/72 Acutes	neg.	AL AI	PC OK	
5/7/73 S-acutes		AH SANC	SANC OK	
		SAL SAI SAH		
G-46		NC	NC	4
Acutes	pos.	NC PC AL	NC OK	1. Alldoses negative
12/8/72 S-acutes	neg.	AI AH SANC	SANC OK	
		SAL SAI	SAPC LOW	
		SAH		
D3				
12/6/72 A11	pos.	NC PC	NC OK	1. All doses negative
12/0//2 ///	neg.	AL AI AH	SANG-	
		SANC SAL SAI		
		SAH		

Summary:

This compound exhibited no genetic activity either in vitro or in HMA tests against any of the tester organisms. Data should be acceptable.

Davil Brush

a. HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-53

POWDERED AGAR

TEST I



HOST MEDIATED ASSAY

SUMMARY SHEET

COMPOUND! F		SALMON	ELLA	. • •	SACCHAROMY	CES D-3
- -	[Aj53	30	3-45) .		
	мМF. (X 10E-8).	METIMEC	MMF (x 10E-3)	METZNEC	MRF (x 10E-5)	MRIZMRÇ
ACUTE NC PC AL AI LO5	.52 17.90 .70 .98 1.24	34.42 1.35 1.88 2.38	.49 15.32 .56 .81 .63	31.27 1.14 1.65	2.2.4 68.53 6.4.46 4.46 3.44	31.01 1.96 2.03 1.56
SUBACUTE NC SL SI SLD5 PC *	.91 1.34 1.65 1.56 16.47	1.47 1.81 1.71 18.10	1.23 1.09 1.28 8.96	1.52 1.35 1.55 11.06	2.21 1.32 4.20 3.16 0.	.82 1.90 1.43
IN VITRO TCPD NC PC	TA1530 - - +	G-46 - +	% CONC 10.0 - 0.5	% SURVIVAL 100.0 100.0 50.2	347	16

STUP

^{*} Positive control performed by acute method done with subacute studies.

b. HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY DATA SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-53

POWDERED AGAR

TEST I

COMPOUND:	EDA	71-53
COMPOUND &	FUA	71-00

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL, ACUTE

DATE STARTED: DECEMBER 20, 1972

ANIMAL NUMBER	A RAW CFU X 10E7/0•6ML	B TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	C TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	D MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	42.90 58.60 71.90 68.20 66.20 63.40 65.00 68.00	7.15 9.77 11.98 11.37 11.03 10.57 10.83 11.33	7.00 6.00 6.00 4.00 3.00 8.00 4.00	.98 .61 .50 .35 .27 .76 .37
NO. OF CON	MALS EQUALS	8 ALS 2		
NO OUTLIEF	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E8) 10.50 4.83 11.98 7.15	COL. C (X 10E0) 5.25 5.00 8.00 3.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) .52 .71 .98 .27

COMPOUND: FDA 71-53

I

C

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL, ACUTE

DATE STARTED: DECEMBER 20, 1972

	Α	B	C	D
		·	TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
• •	31.90	5.32	140.00	26.33
1 2 3	60.70	10.12	115.00	11.37
<u>~</u> 3	63.00	10.50	182.00	17.33
π.	41.90	6.98	134.00	19.19
τ 5	40.20	6.70	114.00	17.01
	41.20	6.87	200.00	29.13
7	44.30	7.38	108.00	14.63
<i>(</i> .	62.50	10.42	100.00	9.60
4 5 6 7 8 9	51.90	8.65	143.00	16.53
NO. OF AN	IMALS EQUALS	9	•	
NO. OF CO	NTAMINATED EQUA			
		COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)
	MEAN	8.10	137.33	17.90
	RANGE	5.18	100.00	19.53
	MAX	10.50	200.00	29.13
	MIN	5.32	100.00	9.60
NO OUTLIE				

				٠.	,
COMPOUND:	FDA 71-53		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA TA153	0
DOSE LEVE	L: LOW - 7.15 N	16/KG			•
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO. ORAL	., ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	DECEMBER 20.	1972
	A	В	C TOTAL NO.	D MUTATION	
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	
1 2 3	69.50 62.20	11.58 10.37	5.00 10.00	•43 •96	
4 5	70.00 40.00 36.20	11.67 6.67 6.03	2.00 4.00 4.00	•17 •60 •66	
6 7 8	71.90 31.40 61.20	11.98 5.23 10.20	4.00 10.00 5.00	.33 1.91 .49	*
NO. OF CO	IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUAL OUT OF RANGE E				
	MEAN RANGE, MAX	COL. B (X 10E8) 9.22 6.75 11.98	COL. C (X 10E0) 5.50 8.00 10.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) .70 1.74 1.91	
•	MIN,	5,23, SUMMARY WITH	2.00 OUTLIERS REMOVE	•17	ugas a nar
· -	MEAN	COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)	

9.79

5.95 11.98

6.03

MEAN

MAX

MIN

RANGE

4.86 8.00

10.00

2.00

U

U

TSTOP

18

•52 •79 •96

.17

COMPOUND: FDA 71-53

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1530

DOSE LEVEL: INTERMEDIATE - 71.5 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE

DATE STARTED: DECEMBER 20, 1972

	\mathbf{A}_{γ}	В	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
	51.20	8.53	4.00	•47
2	57.90	9.65	9.00	•93
3	67.00	11.17	10.00	•90
4	31.50	5.25	7. 0 0	1.33
5	34.60	5 .7 7	7.00	1.21
6	71.40	11.90	3.00	• 25
7.	31.40	5.23	10.00	1.91
8	58.60	9.77	8.00	•82

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 8
NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 1

	COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)
MEAN	8.41	7.25	•98
RANGE	6.67	7.00	1.66
MAX	11.90	10.00	1.91
MIN	5.23	3.00	•25

* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	8.86	6.86	•85
RANGE	6.65	7.00	1.08
MAX	11.90	10.00	1.33
MIN	5.25	3.00	•25

COMPOUND:	FDA 71-53		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA TA1530
DOSE LEVE	L: LD5 - 715 M	G/KG		•
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAI	L. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	DECEMBER 20, 1
	A	В	C TOTAL NO.	D MUTATION
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	FRE (C/B) X 10E+8
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 NO. OF AN	54.40 33.50 89.80 31.90 31.00 72.00 34.00 71.40	9.07 5.58 14.97 5.32 5.17 12.00 5.67 11.90	12.00 9.00 9.00 12.00 10.00 7.00 7.00 4.00	1.32 1.61 .60 2.26 1.94 .58 1.24
NO. OF CO	NTAMINATED EQUA OUT OF RANGE E	ALS 1 EQUALS 1		
	MEAN RANGE	COL. B (X 10E8) 8.71 9.80	COL. C (X 10E0) 8.75 8.00	CoL. D (X 10E-8) 1.24 1.92

5.17

12.00

4.00

14.97

MAX MIN

NO OUTLIERS

I

STOP

20

2.26

1972

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA TA1533 COMPOUND: FDA 71-53 DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE (SUBACUTE) DATE STARTED: MAY 7, 1973. TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE D В MUTATION TOTAL NO. FRE (C/B) MUTANTS X TOTAL CFU X RAW CFU X ANIMAL ₹ 10E-8 10EC/1.0ML 10E8/1.0ML NUMSER 10E7/0. GML. .43 5.00 11.58 69.50 1 .56 4.00 7.15 42.90 2 1.20 7.00 5.85 35.10 3 5.00 .69 7.22 43.30 8.00 1.05 7.52 45.10 5 .61 4.00 6.53 39.20 1.92 13.00 6.78 40.70 7 .82 6.00 43.70 8 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS COL. D COL. C COL. (A 10E-8) (X 10E0) (X 10E8) .91 6.50 7.49 MEAN 9.00 1.48 5.73 RANGE 1.92 13.00 11.58 XAM 4.00 5.45 MIN * SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED COL. C COL. D COL. 8 (X. 10E-8) (X 10E0) (X 10E0) 5.57 .77 7.59 MEAN .76 4.00 5.73 RANGE

11.58

5.85

MAX

MIN

1.20

.43

C.00

4.00

COMPOUNDT	FDA 71-53		OHGANISM: SALM	MUNELLA TAIS:
	and the second s	STEPS DANS 7	an mazes islen	THIES
DOSE LEVEL	I PUSTITUE CUI	NTROL - DMN - 1	ON MONKO TOODE	
TREATMENT	IN VIVO, ORAL	- ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	MAY 7, 1973
	A	. B .	C TOTAL NO.	U MUTATION
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X 1080/1.0ML	FRE (C/8)
.1. 2 .3.,	42.80 57.00 32.80 51.40 74.60	7.13 9.55 5.47 8.57	109.00 142.00 124.00 160.00 186.00	15.28 14.95 22.68 18.68 14.95
5 6 7	87.60° 32.80	14.60 5.47	147.00 102.00	18.66
7 7 NO. OF AN	67.60	15.47 7		
7 NO. OF AN	87.60 32.80 IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQU	15.47 7		
7 7 NO. OF AN	87.60 32.80 IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQU OUT OF RANGE MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	7 ALS 2 EQUALS 1 COL. B (\$ 1055) 9.2 9.13 14.60	COL. C (X 10E0) 138.57 84.00 185.00	COL. D (X 10E-5) 16.47 12.51 22.68 10.07

NO OUTLIERS

COMPOUND	FDA 71-53		ORGANISM: SALM	MONELLA TA153
DOSE LEVEL	.: LOW - 7.15	4 G /KG		•
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO. ORAL	. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	MAY 7. 1973
	Å	В	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.SML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10EO/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 102-8
	46.10 42.80 36.50 47.10 36.30 74.20 34.00 37.40 33.10 IMALS EQUALS OUT OF RANGE 6	7.68 7.13 6.08 7.85 6.05 12.37 5.67 6.23 5.52	9.00 7.00 8.00 9.00 11.00 12.00 10.00 8.00	1.17 .98 1.32 1.15 1.82 .97 1.75 1.25 1.63
NO OUTLIE	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. 3 (X 10E5) 7.18 6.85 12.37 5.52	COL. C (X 10EŪ) 9.22 5.00 12.00 7.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) 1.34 .85 1.82

COMPOUND	FDA 71-53		ORGANISM: SALM	OWELLA TATES
DOSE LEVEL	-: INTERMEDIATE	- 71.50 MG/KG		•
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	MAY 7, 1973
	Å	B :	C. TOTAL NO.	
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	FAE (C/B)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	37.40 97.40 74.50 33.50 50.50 52.80 45.00	6.23 16.23 12.42 5.58 5.08 8.80 7.50	5.00 8.00 21.00 16.00 15.00 16.00 7.00	.80 .49 1.69 3.87 2.95 1.82
NO. OF CO	NTAMINATED EQUI OUT OF RANGE I MEAN RANGE MAX MIN RS	COL. :: (X 10Es) :: 8.84 :: 11.15 :: 16.23 :: 5.03	COL. C (X 10£0) 12.57 16.00 21.00 5.00	CoL. D (X 10E-5) 1.65 2.46 2.5

COMPOUND:	FDA 71-53		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA TA153	
DOSE LEVE	L: LD5 - 715.0) WG/KG			
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO. ORAL	. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	MAY 7, 1973	
	A	В	C TOTAL NO.	D	
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X 10EO/1.OML	MUTATION FRE C/B) 102-8	
1 2 3	69.70 54.10	11.62 9.02	15.00 22.00	1.29 2.44	
4 5 .	63.10 75.40 72.20	10.52 12.57 12.03	13.00 20.00 9.00	1.24 1.59 .75	
6 7	48.00 31.30	8.00 5.22	12.00	1.50 2.11	
NO. OF CO	IMALS EQUALS NTAMINATED EQUA OUT OF RANGE S			•	
	4.00 a 4.	COL. 3 (X 1058)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)	
	MEAN RANGE MAX	9.85 7.35 12.57	14.57 13.00 22.00	1.56 1.59 2.44	
NO OUTLIE	MIN RS	5,22	9.00	.75	

COMPOUND: FDA 71-53			ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46		
DOSE LEVE	L: NEGATIVE CON	TOL - SALTNET	ACUTE		
TREATMEN	: IN VIVO, ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	NOVEMBER 29. 1	
	À	B 8	C TOTAL NO.	D MUTATION	
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X 1020/1.0ML	FRE (C/B) X 106-8	
1	30.40	5.07	5.00	.99	
2	66.50	11.08	4.00	. 35	
	51.20	8.53	4.00	47	
4.	61.70	10.28	5.00	. 49 . 29	
5.	62.70	10.45	3.00 6.00	. w	
<u>6</u>	42.80	7.13	6.00	. 40	
7	91.10	15.18	3.00	28	
8	71.50	11.92 13.10	4.00		
рт т 9 година. По	78.60	13.10	74 6 0 0		
	NIMALS EQUALS ONTAMINATED EQU	g ALS: 1			
	•	COL.	COL. C	COL. D	
eren in er en en	entre de la companya	(X 10E2)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)	
•	MEAN	16.31	4.44	•49	
	RANGE	10.12	3.00	•74	
La Maria La La Caracteria de la Caracter	MAX	15.18	6.00	,99	
	MIN	5.07	3.00	• 25	
NO OUTLI	ERS		- "		

NO OUTLIERS

COMPOUND FDA 71-53			URGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46			
DOSE LEVEL	: POSITIVE CON	ITROL - DMN 1	OO MG/KG (ACUT	E)		
TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	NOVERBER 29: 1	į (
	A	В	.c	D		
			TOTAL NO.	MUTATION		
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE C/B)		
NUMBER	10E7/0.5ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 102-8		
1	51.90	8.65	119.00	13.76		
. 1 . 2	60.70	10.12	98.00	9.69		
3	61.30	10.22	138.00	13,51		
3 4	51.40	8.57	151.00	17.63		
	44.40	7.40	140.00	18,92		
.5° 6 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	31.70	5.28	111.00	Ž1.01		
7	35°, 50	5.92	130.00	21.97		
8	51.20	10.20	102.00	10.00		
··· · · 9 ,	79.70	13.28	148.00	11.14		
10	40.80	6.80	106.00	15.59		
NO. OF ANI	MALS EQUALS	10.		• ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;		
		COL. 3	COL. C	CGL. D		
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	(X.10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)		
	MEAN	8.64	124.3	15.32		
	RANGE	8.00	53.00	12.28		
	MAX	13.23	151.00	21.97		
	MIN	^ŝ• <u>2</u> 8	98.00	9.69		
MO OUTLIER	ic '					

972

COMPOUND! FU	A 71-53		URGANISM: SALM	IONELLA 6-46	•
DOSE LEVEL:	LOW - 7.15 MG.	/KG			
TREATMENT: I	N VIVO, URAL,	ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	NOVEMBER 290	1972
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.5ML	TOTAL CFU X	C TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 1020/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) Z luz-6	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	81.80 81.20 81	13.63 6.67 6.27 6.27 6.27 6.20 11.70 12.00 13.78 11.99	8.00 2.00 7.00 5.00 5.00 8.00 7.00 3.00 6.00	1.12.37.87.2.3 1.13.43.68.7.2.3	
NO. OF ANIM	ALS EQUALS]		e month of the second	and the second s	
	MEAN RANGE MAA MIN	COL. 8 (X 1028) 11.05 8.73 15.00 6.27	COL. C (X 10±0) 5.30 8.00 8.00 2.00	COL. D (X 102-8) .56 .70 1.12 .22	
		SUMMARY WITH	OUTCIERS REMOVE		
	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. 8 (X 10E8) 11.58 6.13 15.00	COL. C (X 10 E 0) 5.67 6.00 2.00	COL. D (X 13E-8) .50 .51 .72 .22	

COMPOUND	FUA 71-53		ORGANISM: SAL	HUNELLA 5-46	•
DOSE LEVEL	: INTERMEDIATE	- 71.5 MG/KG			
TREATMENT:	IN VIVO. ORAL	ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	NOVEMBÉR 29,	1972
ANIMAL NUMBER	A CFU X 10E7/0.3ML	TOTAL CFU X	C TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X IDEOVI.OML	D MUTAYION FRE (C/B) 7 10228	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	98.40 742.00 742.00 742.00 75.00 75.00 75.00 75.00 75.00 75.00 75.00 75.00 75.00 75.00	16.40 12.37 7.00 12.50 12.50 12.20 12.20 12.20 12.20 12.20	9.00 9.00 4.00 5.00 7.00 10.00 11.00 4.00 4.00 4.00	.55 .73 .57 .25 .26 1.26 1.76 .41 .43 .43	
NO. OF ANI	MEAN RANGE MAX	COL. B (X 10EB) 9.91 11.10 16.40	COL. C (X 10E0) 3.85 7.00 11.00	COL. D (X 10 E-8) .81 1.54 1.09	
NO OUTLIER	S. W.IW.		4.00	ि•34 -	

NO OUTLIERS

COMPOUND! FD/	4 71-53		URGANISM: SAL	MUNELLA 6-46	
DOSE LEVEL: L	_U5 - 715 MG	/x@			
TREATMENT: I	N VIVO, ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	NOVEMBER 299	1
an water	A KAW CFU X	B TOTAL CFU X	C TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X	D MOTATAON FRE TOZBI	
ANIMAL NUMBER	10E7/0.5ML	TOEB/I-OML	10EO/1.UML	7 10e-8	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	32.10 51.20 51.20 71.20 71.20 72.20 72.40 72.40	5.35 8.07 10.03 11.83 16.40 12.00 12.00 11.90	6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 8.00 8.00 7.00 6.00	1.70 0 4 8 5 6 9 8 0 0 6 7 6 5 6 9 8 0 0 6 9 8 0 0 6 9 8 0 0 6 9 6 0 0 6 9 6 0 0 6 0 0 6 0 0 6 0 0 6 0 0 0 0	**
NO. OF ANTHA	LS EQUALS	The second second sequences	en e	e e per egypt de ext	
	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 1025) 10.76 11.05 16.40	COL. C (X 10E0) 6.50 7.00 9.00 2.00	COL. D (X 192-8) .63 .92 1.12 .20	
	**************************************	SUMMARY WITH	OUTCIERS REMOVE	10	
	MEAN RANGE	COL = s (\$\hat{\hat{\hat{\hat{\hat{\hat{\hat{	COL. C (X 1020) 7.13 4.00 9.00	COL. D (X 10E-2). .63 .29	
	NAX min	20.57	3.0 00	့ <u>ခ</u> ်စို့	

	COMPOUND	50 a 71_60				
	COHPOUND	ENW 11-53		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA G-46	
	DOSE LEVEL	: NEGATIVE CO	NTROL - SALINE	(SUSACUTE)	.	
	TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, ORA	L. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	DECEMBER 8, 1	9
						٠
TIK A Transport		A	8	C	D	
	ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 1020/1.0ML	MUTATION FāÉ (C/B) ⊼ 102-8	
~_	1	41.1				
	ž	51.10 61.40	8.52 10.23	5.00	• 5 9	
4	500 (100) 3. 100 (100)	70.50	11.77	6.00 6.00	.5 9	
-	4	64.20	10.70	8.00		
	5	82.90	13.62	4.00	29	
	6	81.10	13.52	9.00	.67	
~	7	82.40	13.73	6.00	.44	
Γ	8	31.00	5.17	8.00	1.55	
4	9.14	31.80	5.30	10.00	1.39	
	NO. OF ANIM	MALS EQUALS TAMINATED EQUA	9 11.5 1 1			
~	en e	•	COL.	COL. C	COL. D	
		SAFE A N	(X,10£8)	(X 10E0)	(X 105-8)	
1		MEAN RANGE	.10.31 	6∙8⇒	.81	
~		MAX	^8.65 13.82	6.00	1.60	
	•	MIN	5.17	10.00	1.9	
Te /	NO OUTLIERS			4.00	. 29	

COMPOUND	FDA 71-53		ORGANISM: SALI	MONELLA G-46	
DOSE LEVE	I : POSITIVE CON		LOO MG/KG (SUBA	OLITE:	
	C + 1 001 1 1 VC 1001	LYOF - DIMM - 1	INA MONKA (200%)	204¢)	
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	, ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	DECEMBER 8.	972
	А	В	C	D	
	en e		TOTAL NO.	MUTATION	
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)	
HONGER	TOE IVE ONL	10E8/1.UML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8	
1 2	74.20	12.37	106.00	8.57	
	92.80	15.47	134.00	8.66	
· ··· :3 · · · · · · · · · · ·	64.00	10.57	98.00	9,19	
4	65.10	10.85	145.00	13.36	₩
5 6	102.20	17.03	150.00	^9 , 39	
7	181.00 103.30	30.17 17.22	154.00	5.10	
6	93.40	15.57	112.00 153.00	6.51	
9	74.20	12.37	120.00	10.15 9.70	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2000		74 (U	
	IMALS EQUALS			· ·	
NO. OF COM	NTAMINATED EQUA	15	$\frac{\Delta L}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right)} \right) \right) \right)} \right) \right) } \right) } \right) } } } }$		
		COL. 3	COL. C	COL. D	
the state of the s	the state of the s	(X 10EE)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)	
	MEAN	15.74	131.89	8.96	
_	RANGE	19.50	62.00	8.26	
	MAX	30.17	150.00	13.36	
	MIN	10.67	98.00	€.10	
المناسب السامية					•

* SUMMARY WITH OUTCIERS REMOVED

to the second se	COL. 8	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X. 10E∽8)
MEAN	16.36	13∫.25	3.41
RANGE	19.50	62.00	5.04
XAM	30.17	160.00	10.15
MIN	10.67	98.00	5.10

A B C D ANIMAL RAW CFU X TOTAL CFU X MUTANTS X FRE (C/ NUMBER 10E7/0.6ML 10E8/1.0ML 10E0/1.0ML X 10E-8 1 40.30 6.72 6.00 .89 2 80.70 13.45 9.00 .67 3 31.90 5.32 9.00 1.69 4 30.90 5.15 10.00 1.94 5 32.00 5.33 7.00 1.31 6 38.80 6.47 8.00 1.24 7 37.00 6.17 7.00 1.31 8 31.00 5.17 5.00 97 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 8 TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 2 COL. B COL. C (X 10E8) (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) MEAN 6.72 7.63 1.2 RANGE 8.30 5.00 1.24 MAX 13.45 10.00 1.99	TREATMENT	IN VIVO. ORA	L, SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	DECEMBER &
ANIMAL RAW CFU X TOTAL CFU X MUTANTS X FRE (CANUMBER 10E7/0.6ML 10E8/1.0ML 10E0/1.0ML X 10E-8 1 40.30 6.72 6.00 .89 2 80.70 13.45 9.00 6.73 3 31.90 5.32 9.00 1.69 4 30.90 5.15 10.00 1.94 5 32.00 5.33 7.00 1.31 6 38.80 6.47 8.00 1.24 7 37.00 6.17 7.00 1.14 8 31.00 5.17 5.00 97 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 8 TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 2 COL. B COL. C COL. (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-80) MEAN 6.72 7.63 1.2 RANGE 8.30 5.00 1.24 MAX 13.45 10.00 1.99	emilion visit	**			,
ANIMAL RAW CFU X TOTAL CFU X MUTANTS X FRE (CX NUMBER 10E7/0.6ML 10E8/1.0ML 10E0/1.0ML X 10E-8 1		A	В	c	n
NUMBER 10E7/0.6ML 10E8/1.0ML 10E0/1.0ML X 10E-8 1	ARITRAL	DAW AFTER V	W0041 0014 44	TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
1				MUTANTS X	FRE (C/E
2 80.70 13.45 9.00 .67 3 31.90 5.32 9.00 1.69 4 30.90 5.15 10.00 1.94 5 32.00 5.33 7.00 1.31 6 38.80 6.47 8.00 1.24 7 37.00 6.17 7.00 1.14 8 31.00 5.17 5.00 97 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 8 TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 2 COL. B COL. C COL. (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E- MEAN 6.72 7.63 1.2 RANGE 8.30 5.00 1.24 MAX 13.45 10.00 1.9	MONOCIV	TOE IN O DIME	TOES/1.UML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
2 80.70 13.45 9.00 .67 3 31.90 5.32 9.00 1.69 4 30.90 5.15 10.00 1.94 5 32.00 5.33 7.00 1.31 6 38.80 6.47 8.00 1.24 7 37.00 6.17 7.00 1.14 8 31.00 5.17 5.00 97 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 8 TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 2 COL. B COL. C COL. (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E- MEAN 6.72 7.63 1.2 RANGE 8.30 5.00 1.24 MAX 13.45 10.00 1.9	1	40.30	6.72	6.00	80
### 30.90	2	80.70			
\$\frac{4}{30.90}\$ \frac{5.15}{5.33}\$ \frac{10.00}{7.00}\$ \frac{1.94}{3.31.00}\$ \frac{6.47}{6.17}\$ \frac{8.00}{7.00}\$ \frac{1.31}{1.24}\$ \frac{7}{8}\$ \frac{37.00}{31.00}\$ \frac{6.17}{5.17}\$ \frac{7.00}{5.00}\$ \frac{1.14}{97}\$ \frac{7.00}{97}\$ \frac{1.14}{97}\$ \frac{1.00}{1.00}\$ \frac{1.00}{1.00}\$ \frac{1.00}{1.00}\$ \frac{1.24}{1.20}\$ \frac{1.22}{1.20}\$ \	3				
\$ 32.00 \$5.33 \$7.00 \$1.31 \$6 \$38.80 \$6.47 \$8.00 \$1.24 \$7 \$37.00 \$6.17 \$7.00 \$1.14 \$8 \$31.00 \$5.17 \$5.00 \$97 \$\$\$\$ NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS \$8 \$TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS \$2 \$				10.00	
7 37.00 6.17 7.00 1.14 8 31.00 5.17 5.00 1.14 97 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 8 TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 2 COL. B COL. C COL. (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E- MEAN 6.72 7.63 1.2 RANGE 8.30 5.00 1.2 MAX 13.45 10.00 1.9				7.00	1.31
NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 8 TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 2 COL. B COL. C COL. (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E- MEAN 6.72 7.63 1.2 RANGE 8.30 5.00 1.2 MAX 13.45 10.00 1.9	5				1.24
NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 8 TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 2 COL. B COL. C COL. (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E- MEAN 6.72 7.63 1.2 RANGE 8.30 5.00 1.2 MAX 13.45 10.00 1.9	, / ,				1.14
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 2 COL. B COL. C COL. (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E- MEAN 6.72 7.63 1.2 RANGE 8.30 5.00 1.2 MAX 13.45 10.00 1.9	J	21.00	2.17	5.00	•97
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 2 COL. B COL. C COL. (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E- MEAN 6.72 7.63 1.2 RANGE 8.30 5.00 1.2 MAX 13.45 10.00 1.9	NO. OF AN	IMALS EQUALS			
(X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E- MEAN 6.72 7.63 1.2 RANGE 8.30 5.00 1.2 MAX 13.45 10.00 1.9	TOTAL CFU	OUT OF RANGE E	EQUALS 2		
MEAN 6.72 7.63 1.2 RANGE 8.30 5.00 1.2 MAX 13.45 10.00 1.9		*			CoL. D
RANGE 8.30 5.00 1.2 MAX 13.45 10.00 1.9		Laim L. L.		(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8
MAX 13.45 10.00 1.9					1.23
1000					1.27
		MIN	5.15	10.00 5.00	1.94 .67

I

STOP

COMPOUND:	COMPOUND: FDA 71-53 OR			ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46		
DOSE LEVE	L: INTERMEDIATE	- 71.5 MG/KG				
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	- SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	DECEMBER 8. 1	972	
ANIMAL	A RAW CFU X	B Total CFU X	C TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X	D MUTATION FRE (C/B)	•	
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	60.70 38.00 88.50 29.00 72.60 54.70 38.50 44.20 75.70	10.12 6.33 14.75 4.83 12.10 9.12 6.42 7.37 12.62	8.00 7.00 9.00 7.00 6.00 8.00 12.00 8.00	.79 1.11 .61 1.45 .50 .88 1.87 1.09 1.51		
	IIMALS EQUALS JOUT OF RANGE E	9 EQUALS 1				
	MEAN RANGE	COL. B (X 10E8) 9.29 9.92	CoL. C (X 10E0) 9.33 13.00	CoL. D (X 10E-8) 1.09 1.37		

14.75

19.00

MAX MIN

NO OUTLIERS

1.87 .50

I

I

I

	COMPOUND:	FDA 71- 53		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA G-46	
	DOSE LEVE	L: LD5 - 715 M	G/KG		•	
	TREATMENT	: IN VIVO. ORAL	-, SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	DECEMBER 8, 1972	! •
		A	В	C TOTAL NO.	D MUTATION	
	ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	
The state of the s	1 2 3	42.30 64.00 50.20	7.05 10.67 8.37	17.00 15.00 14.00	2.41 1.41 1.67	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	31.20 48.00 67.40 66.40	5.20 8.00 11.23 11.07	6.00 6.00 9.00 10.00	1.15 .75 .80 .90	
	8 9 10	75.10 97.00 41.70	12.52 16.17 6.95	12.00 10.00 15.00	•96 •62 2•16	
	NO. OF AN	IMALS EQUALS	10			
		MEAN RANGE	COL. B (X 10E8) 9.72 10.97	COL. C (X 10E0) 11.40 11.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) 1.28 1.79	
STOP	NO OUTLIE	MAX MIN RS	16.17 5.20	17.00 6.00	2.41 .62	
	.`` [*]					£

COMPOUND: F	DA 71-53	and the second s	ORGANISM: SACCHAROMYCES D-3		
DOSE LEVEL:	NEGATIVE CO	TROL - SALINE			
TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTED	DECEMBER 6, 1972	
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	B TOTAL CFU SCHEENED X 1025/1.0ML	C TOTAL RECOMBINANTS 71.0ML	D RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 102-5	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	344.00 816.00 470.00 1010.00 406.00 410.00 512.00	.34 .82 .47 1.01 .41 .41 .51	1.00 3.00 0. 2.00 1.00 0. 2.00	2.91 3.68 0. 1.9 2.46 0. 3.27	
TOTAL NO. OF ANII TOTAL SCREI	MALS EQUALS ENED OUT OF R	4.07 7 ANGE EQUALS	9.00	•	
MEAN CZMEA	N B =:	2.21 		en e	
	MEAN RANGE	COL. 5 (X 10E5) .58 .67	COL. C (X 10E0) 1.29 5.00	COL. D (X 105~5) 2. 4 3.58	

NO OUTLIERS

	COMPOUND !	FDA 71-53		ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D-3	
	DOSE LEVEL	: POSITIVE CO	NTROL - EMS -	350 MG/KG I.M.		
		IN VIVO: ORA		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	DECEMBER 6.	7 2
		غر	В	С	D	
	ANIMAL: NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.UML	TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS. 71.0ML	RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 102-5	
	1 2 3	746.00 674.00 467.00	•75 •67 •47	38.00 40.00 34.00	50.94 59.35 72.81	
	4 5 6 7	811.00 712.00 500.00 200.00	.81 .71 .50 .20	86.00 42.00 30.00 11.00	106.04 58.99 60.00 55.00	ř
1	8	428.00	.43	30.00	70.09	
******	TOTAL		4.54	311.00	•	
	NO. OF ANIM	NED OUT OF RA	ANGE EQUALS	2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			COL.	COL. C	COL. D	
		MEAN RANGE	(X 10E5) •57 •61	(X 10E0) 38.88 75.00	(X 102-5) 66,65 55,10	
I		MIN	.81 .20	86.00	106.04 50.94	,
		**************************************	SUMMARY WITH	OUTLIERS REMOVEL	e to la ndere to t elescope en el care de la	
	MEAN C/MEAN	1 B∷=:	37			
	·	HEAN Range	COL. 8 (X 10E5) .53	COL. C (X 10E0) 32.14	COL. D (X 10E-5) 61.02	•
TOP		MAX MIN	•55 •75 •20	31.00 42.00 11.00	21.87 72.81 50.94	
1	•		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	The second secon	•	

ORGANISMI SACCHAROMYCES D-3

3**972**

COMPOUND: FDA 71-53

DOSE LEVI	EL: LOW - 7.15	MG/KG		•
	I: IN VIVO, ORA		DATE STARTED	DECEMBER 6:
	A	B TOTAL CFU	C	۵
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X	SCREENED X 10ES/1.0ML	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS ZI.OML	RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 102-5
1 2 3	846.00 690.00 428.00	• 8 5 • 6 9	5.00 0.	5.91 0.
4 5 6	917.00 732.00 600.00	.43: / .92: .73:	1.00 4.00 3.00	2.34 4.35 4.10
7 8	419.00 394.00	•60 •42 •39	4.00 4.00 1.00	6.67 9.55 2.54
TOTAL		5.03	22.00	
NO. OF AN	IMALS EQUALS: EENED OUT OF RA	R NGE EQUALS		t en
MEAN C/ME	AN B = 4	3 €	n de la companya de l	
	MEAN	COL. 9 (X 10E5) .63	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.75	COL. D (X 10E-5) 4.43
NO OUTLIEF	RANGE MAX MIN	.52. .92 .39	5.00 5.00	∳•55 19•55 0•
	The second secon	and the same and the	and the state of t	

I

5

IT

				•	
COMPOUND	FDA 71-53		ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D-	•3
DOSE LEVE	L: INTERMEDIAT	E - 71.50 MG/K	G	•	
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORA	, ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	DECEMBER 6,	2972
•	.	B TOTAL CFU	C	D	
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X	SCREENED X 10ESZ1.0ML	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	SECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 105-6	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	341.00 521.00 772.00 302.00 493.00 677.00 442.00	.34 .52 .77 .30 .49 .58 .44	0. 3.00 5.00 1.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	0. 5.76 6.48 3.31 4.06 2.99 6.79	**
TOTAL		4.02	18.00		
NO. OF AN TOTAL SCR	IMALS EQUALS EENED OUT OF RA	ANGE EQUALS			
		.			
	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. 3 (X 10E5) .50 .47 .77 .30	COL. C (X. 10E0) 2.25 5.00 5.00	COL. D (X.10E-5) 4.20 79 6.79	
en er	and a first of the same of	SHMM2DV WTTW.	MITOTEDS DEMOVES	and was a substitution of the substitution of	l Lw.
			ONTETERS: KEWOAE	,	
MEAN C/ME	AN B = 4	F		en de la companya de La companya de la co	
	MEAN RANGE NAX	COL. 6 (X 10E5) .53 .47	COL. C (X 10E0) 2.57 4.00 5.00	COL. D (X 10E-5) 4.8) 3.83 6.79	
	MIN	. Ś û	1.00	2 45	

			ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D-3	
DOSE LEVEL	LD5 - 715.	00 MG/KG:			
TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, OR	AL. ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	DECEMBER 6.	9 7 2
	A	В	C.	o	
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 1025/1.0ML	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS 21.0ML	RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X 108-5	
1 2 3 4 5	514.00 912.00 354.00 500.00 412.00 312.00	.51 .91 .35 .50 .41	1.00 3.00 1.00 2.00 2.00 3.00	1.95 3.29 2.42 4.00 4.35 9.62	·
7	483.00	• 4ê	$\tilde{\mathfrak{o}}$.	9.02	
TOTAL		3.49	12.00	en e	
NO. OF ANIM					
NO. OF CONT	AMINATED EQ NED OUT OF (UALS 1 RANGE EQUALS 3.44			
NO. OF CONT TOTAL SCREE	AMINATED EQ NED OUT OF (RANGE EQUALS 3.44 COL. 8	COL. C	COL. D	
NO. OF CONT TOTAL SCREE	AMINATED EQI NED OÛT OF I B = MEAN MEAN RANGE	COL. d (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 10E0) 1.71 3.00	(XÎ10E⊹Ŝ) 3.79 ⊹.62	
NO. OF CONT TOTAL SCREE	AMINATED EQI NED OÛT OF (B = MEAN	COL. (X 10E5)	COL. C (X 1020) 1.71	(X 10E-S) 3.79	
NO. OF CONT TOTAL SCREE	AMINATED EQINED OUT OF AMEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. (X 10E5) -50 -91 -31	COL. C (X 10±0) 1.71 3.00 3.00 3.00	(X 10E-S) 3.79 5.62 9.62	
NO. OF CONT TOTAL SCREE	AMINATED EQINED OUT OF I	COL. (X 10E5) -50 -91 -31	COL. C (X 1020) 1.71 3.00 3.00	(X 10E-S) 3.79 5.62 9.62	
NO. OF CONT TOTAL SCREE MEAN COMEAN	AMINATED EQINED OUT OF I	COL. d (X 10E5) .50 .50 .91 .31	COL. C (X 10±0) 1.71 3.00 3.00 3.00	(X 10E-S) 3.79 5.62 9.62	

COMPOUND	FDA 71-53		ORGANISM: SA	CCHAROMYCES D.	- 3
DOSE LEVEL	: LOW - 7.15 M	G/K@		•	
TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, ORAL	• SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED	DECEMBER 6,	%9 72
ANIMAL NUMMER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.UML	B TOTAL CEU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	C TOTAL RECOMBINANTS /1.0ML	D RECOMBICEU SCHEENED X 105-5	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	1131.00 1212.00 983.00 624.00 389.00 806.00 352.00	1.13 1.21 .98 .62 .39 .81	2.00 3.00 2.00 0. 2.00 1.00	1.77 2.43 2.03 0. 0. 2.48 2.84	
	MALS EQUALS ENED OUT OF RA	5.50 Y NGE EQUALS	10.00 		
MEAN CIMEA	N'B = 1	• 8 2	en e		
	MEAN RANGE MAX	COL. 3 (X 1025) .79 .86 1.21	COL. C (X 10E0) 1.43 3.00	COL.D (X 108-5) 1.66 2.84 2.4	

IT

NO OUTLIERS

COMPOUND	FDA 71-53		ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D-3	3
DOSE LEVEL	: INTERMEDIATE	2 - 71.50 MG/K	3	•	
TREATMENT	IN VIVO, ORAL	. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	DECEMBER 6,	, 9 7 2
ANIMAL Number	RAW CFU X 1065/1.0ML	B TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 10E5/1.0ML	C TOTAL RECOMBINANTS Z1.0ML		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	317.00 492.00 394.00 323.00 497.00 553.00 349.00 402.00	32 49 39 33 50 55 35 40	1.00 4.00 0. 1.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 3.00	3.15 8.13 0. 3.05 2.01 3.62 5.73 7.46	
TOTAL		3,33	14.00		
	MALS EQUALS ENED OUT OF R	ANGE EQUALS	2		
MEAN C/MEA	N B =:	4.20			
AID OHT! TED	MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 1055) .42 .24 .55	COL. C (X 10E0) 1.75 4.00 4.00	COL. D (X 10E-5) 4.14 13 13	

COMPOUNDT FDA 71-53 ORGANISM: SACCHAROMYCES DER DOSE LEVEL: LD5 - 715.00 MG/KG TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: DECEMBER 6+ 1972 C TOTAL CFU TOTAL PECCMB/CFU ANIMAL RAW CFU X SCRÉENED X RECOMBINANTS SCREENED X NUMBER 10E5/1.0ML 1055/1.OML /1.0ML . 1UE-5 1 332.00 .33 1.00 3,01 2 625.00 .62 1.00 1,60 3 407.00 Ů. Ġ. 4 410.00 2.00 4.38 90B.00 2.00 2.20 667.00 3.00 4.50 7 675.00 . 67 3.00 4.44 8 703.00 •70 2.00 2.84 659.00 .66 3.00 4,55 TOTAL 5.39 17.00 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS TOTAL SCREENED OUT OF RANGE EQUALS MEAN C/MEAN B = 3.16 COL. COL. C COL. D (X 10ES) (X 10E0) (X 10E-5) MEAN 1.89 3.11 RANGE .58 3.00 4.86 MAX .91

• 33

HIN

NO OUTLIERS

3.00

0.

43

4.88

Toxicity Data - Test II

a. Acute toxicity test

Compound FDA 71-53 was incorporated in a small quantity of food (Ralston Purina Rat Chow) and the animals were allowed to eat this in a short period of time. A group of ten male rats (average body weight 225 grams) were presented a single dose of 5000 mg/kg.

No signs of toxicity or abnormal behavior were observed in the seven-day observation period. No deaths occurred. At termination all animals were killed and on necropsy no gross findings were observed.

The acute oral $\rm LD_{50}$ for compound FDA 71-53 is considered to be greater than 5000 mg/kg.

b. Subacute toxicity test

Compound FDA 71-53 was incorporated in a small quantity of food as in the acute study. The test substance was administered to a group of ten male rats (average body weight 187.7 grams), daily for five days at a dosage level of 5000 mg/kg.

No sign of toxicity or abnormal behavior was observed in the five-day period of compound administration or in the observation period which followed. The total period of observation was 14 days when the animals were terminated and gross necropsies performed. No abnormal gross findings were observed.

The 14-day subacute oral LD_{50} for compound FDA 71-53 is considered to be greater than 5000 mg/kg.



c. TOXICITY DATA SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-53

POWDERED AGAR

TEST II

ACUTE TOXICITY DATA COMPOUND FDA 71-53

Solvent:

None

Dosage Form:

Incorporated in food pellet.

Animals:

Male rats with an average body weight of 225 grams.

All animals were observed for seven days.

LD₅₀:

Could not be determined at a dose of 5 grams

per kilogram. The ${\rm LD}_{50}$ is greater than 5 grams per

kilogram and there was no abnormal gross pathology in

the animals used in this study.

SUBACUTE TOXICITY DATA COMPOUND FDA 71-53

Solvent:

None

Dosage Form:

Incorporated in food pellet.

Animals:

Male rats with an average body weight of 187.7 grams.

All animals were observed for 14 days.

LD₅₀:

Could not be determined at a dose of 5 grams

per kilogram five days per week. The subacute oral

 LD_{50} is greater than 5 grams per kilogram and there

was no abnormal gross pathology in the animals used

in this study.

4. Host-Mediated Assay - Test II

A new high dose of 5000 mg/kg was acutely and subacutely tested against all three indicator strains. All tests results were negative.

David Brusick

a. HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-53

POWDERED AGAR

TEST II



HOST MEDIATED ASSAY

SUMMARY SHEET

COMPOUND: FDA		LMONELLA G-46	SACCHAROMYCES D-3
	MMF MFT/MF (X 10E-8)	C MMF MFT/MFC (X 10E-8)	MRF MRT/MRC (X 10E-5)
ACUTE NC PC AL AI AH	2.04 69.00 33.82 0. 0. 0. 0. 1.54 .75	0. 0.	8.92 179.23 20.09 0. 0. 0. 0. 16.26 1.82
SUBACUTE NC SL SI SH	1.00 0. 0. 0. 0.	1.00 0. 0. 0. 0.	1.00 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
IN VITRO	TA1530 G-4	6 D-3 % CONC % SURVI	
NC PC			

STOP SRU'S:.4

HOST MEDIATED ASSAY

SUMMARY SHEET

g ages of the second	COMPOUND: FDA	71-53			and the second of the second o		
		TA153	SALMONI 0	ELLA G-46		SACCHAROMYC	ES D-3
.*		MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MMF (X 10E-8)	MFT/MFC	MRF (X 10E-5)	MRT/MRC
and the second s	ACUTE NC PC	3.98 89.10	22.39	1.38 306.21	221.89	11.40 85.29	7.48
	AL AI AH	0. 0. 0.	0. 0. 0.	0. 0. 0.	0. 0. 0.	0.	0.
	SUBACUTE NC	3.98		1.38		11.40	
	SL SI SH	0. 0. 2.83	0.	0.	0. 0. .61	0. 0. 14.39	0. 0. 1.26
	IN VITRO	TA1530	G-46	% CONC	D-3 % SURVIVAL	R X 10E5	
CTOD	NC PC		and the second second	·			
STOP SRU'S:.4 !			en e		en e		v .

b. HOST-MEDIATED ASSAY DATA SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-53

POWDERED AGAR

TEST II



COMPOUND: FOA 71-53 ORGANISM: SALMUNELLA TA1530

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. CHAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: MARCH 29, 1974

	A	B B	Charles in the	D
A 11 =			TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
	54.80	9.13	13.00	1.42
5	74.50	12.42	18.00	1.45
3	31.30	5.22	16.00	3.07
4	53.80	8.97	8.00	.89
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	42.80	opega, op 7.•13 . e.ged	27.00	3.78
6	31.90	5.32	13.00	2.45
7	65.00	10.83	20.00	1.85
8	51.70	8.62	10.00	1.16
9	57. 80	9.63	20.00	2.08
10	67.80	11.30	26.00	2.30
	Barbara Magazi	British a difference		
NO. OF AN	IMALS EQUALS	10		
	and the State of the Community of the Co	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
		(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
	MEAN	8.86	17.10	2.04
	KANGE	7.20 mg	19.00 mg	2.89
	MAX	12.42	27.00	3.78
	MIN	5.22	8.00	.89
NO OUTLIFE	o e		7.00	•07

3U+S:.5

SWITCH INS:SL253

SAL

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DAN	- 100 MG/KG	
TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL . ACUTE		
		U ANTERIOR

	A	b .	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	HAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
į	34.60	5.77	317.00	54.97
2	57.10	9.52	1062.00	111.59
3	48.30	8.05	494.00	61.37
4	32.80	5.47	518.00	94.75
5	54.50	역 4년 (주 9. 08 년 원조	658.00	72.44
6	50.10	8.35	207.00	24.19
7	49.50	8.25	349.00	42.30
8	37.60	6.27	562.00	89.68
9	49.20	8.20	390.00	47.56
10 Magazina bayaya	45.80	7.63	691.00	90.52
and the second s				

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10

COMPOUND: FDA 71-53

	CUL. H	COL. C	CUL. D
	(X 10E6)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	7.66	524.80	69.00
RANGE	4.05	855.00	86.80
MAX	9.52	1062.00	111.59
MIN	5.47	207.00	24.79

NO OUTLIERS

U.S:.7

!SWITCH INS:SL254

COMPOUND: FDA 71-53 ORGANISM: SALMUNELLA TA15,30

DOSE LEVEL: HIGH - 5000 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO. ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: MARCH 29, 1974

	ek alau ku esti in eenkeas, sen mit. A	មិ	С	D	
			TUTAL NO.	MUTATION	
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)	
NUMBER	1057/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8	
1	43.50	7.25	13.00	1.79	
2	74.20	12.37	20.00	1.62	
3	52.60	8.77	17.00	1.94	
4	77.10	12.85	23.00	1.79	
5	63.90	10.65	18.00	1.69	
6	85.80	14.30	18.00	1.26	
7	101.40	16.90	26.00	1.54	
8	160.10	20.08	18.00	•67	铲

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 8
NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1
TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 1

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D	
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)	
MEAN	13.72	19.13	1.54	
HANGE	19.43	13.00	1.26	
MAX	26.68	26.00	1.94	
MIN	7.25	13.00	.67	

* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	11.87	19.29	1.66
RANGE	9.65	13.00	•68
MAX	16.90	26.00	1.94
MINGERSON	g #164 galo 7 • 25 4 gj ji tagiri	13.00	1.26

STOP TU'S:.6 WITCH IND:SL256

COMPOUND:			O-GANISM: SAL	MONGLLA TA153
DOSE LEVEL	.: NEGATIVE CON	TROL - SALINE		
TREATMENT	IN VIVO, ORAL	J. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	UNE 8, 1974
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	B TOTAL CFU X	C TGTAL NO. MUTANTS X	O MUTAT ON
NUMBER	1027/0.5ML	ได้สิธิ/1.0คโ	10E0/1.0ML	FVE (CVS) X 108-8
NO. OF DEA	57.80 75.80 45.70 49.90 52.80 49.90 49.50 41.80 MALS EQUALS D ANIMALS EQUA	9.63 12.63 7.62 32 8.80 8.32 8.25 6.97	53.00 43.00 26.00 24.00 57.00 36.00 23.00 21.00	5.50 3.40 3.41 2.89 6.48 4.33 2.79 3.01
NO OUTLIER	MABE FOMAR AX MIN	COL. (X 1058) (X 1058) 8.8: 5.67 12.63 6.97	COL. C (X 10£0) 35.38 36.00 57.00 21.00	COL. D (X.106-8) 3.98 3.69 6.48 2.79

STOP

COMPOUND: FDA 71-53 ORGANISM: SALMONELLA	TA1530	
--	--------	--

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: JUNE 8, 1974

ANI MAL NUMBER	A RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	B TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	C TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	D MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	49.00 53.40 43.50 45.60 65.40 42.20 50.60 48.40 36.40	8.17 8.90 7.25 7.60 10.90 7.03 8.43 8.10 8.07 6.07	629.00 498.00 843.00 438.00 575.00 671.00 813.00 577.00 916.00 939.00	77.02 55.95 116.27 57.63 52.75 95.40 96.40 71.23 113.55 154.78	ô
NO. OF AN	IIMALS EQUALS	10		•	

	COL. B (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)
MEAN	8.05	689.90	89.10
RANGE	4.83	501.00	102.03
MAX	10.90	939.00	154.78
MIN	6.07	438.00	52.75

* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E8) 8.27 3.87 10.90 7.03	COL. C (X 10E0) 662.22 478.00 916.00 438.00	COL. D (X 10E-8) 81.80 63.52 116.27
MIN	7.03	438.00	52.75

STOP SRU'S:.6

COMPOUND: F	F04 71-53		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA TA153
DOSE LEVEL	: HIGH - 5000	MG/ <g< td=""><td></td><td></td></g<>		
TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, ORAL	, SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JUNE 8, 1974
	Ä	В	C	0
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.GML	TOTAL CFU X	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 1050/1.0ML	MUTATYON Fre (C/8) X 102-8
1 2 3	73.60 98.10 50.50	12.27 16.35 3.42	28.00 31.00 20.00	2.28 1.90 2.33
4 5 6 7	46.20 69.30 69.10 63.10	7.70 11.55 11.52 10.52	43.00 26,00 41.00 31.00	5,58 2,25 3,66 2,95
8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	72.20 83.15 59.00	12.03 13.85 9.83	33.00 35.00 21.00	2.74 2.53 2.14
NO. OF ANI	MALS EQUALS	1:		
	SEAN FARGT AX AIN	COL. (x 1056) 11.40 8.65 16.35 7.70	COL. C (X 10E0) 30.90 23.00 43.00 20.00	COL. D (X.106-3) 2,83 3.69 5.58 1.90
	# .	SUMMERY WITH	OUT IERS REMOVE	.
ing a state of the	*EAN RANGE PAX TN	COL. 6 (X 1088) 11.82 7.93 16.35 0.42	COL. C (X 10E0) 29.56 21.05 41.00 20.00	COL. D (x 10E-8) 2.62 1.66 3.56 1.90

STOP

COMPO	UND #	FDA	71-53

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA G-46.

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL! - SALINE

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: JANUARY 16, 1974

	Δ	B : -	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.5ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE: (C/B) X:10E-8
1	53.10	8.85	13.00	1.47
.5	46.60	7.77	12.00	1.55
:3	61.00	10.17	13.00	1.28
4	36.50	6.08	7.00	1.15
5	50.30	8.38	11.00	1.31
6	61.90	10.32	10.00	.97
7	57.10	9.52	6.00	.63

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 7 TOTAL CFU OUT OF PANGE EQUALS

	COL. B	COL. C	COL, D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	8.73	10.29	1.19
RANGE	4.23	7.00	•91
MAX	10.32	13.00	1.55
MIN	6.08	6.00	•63

* SUMMARY WITH OUT! IERS REMO /ED

	COL's B	COL. C	COL. D
en e	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	8.59	11.00	1.29
RANGE	4.23	6.00	•58
MAX	10.32	13.00	1.55
MIN	6.08	7.00	•97

COMPOUND*	FDA	71-53
-----------	-----	-------

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA .G-46 .

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE DATE STARTED: JANUARY 16, 1974

	A	В	(C)	D	
			TOTAL NO.	MUTATION	
ANIMAL:	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)	
NUMBER	10E7/0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8	
1	80.20	13.37	869.00	65.01	
2	67.70	11.28	1281.00	113.53	
3	128.10	21.35	1209.00	56.63	
4	69° . 80	11.63	1296.00	111.40	
4 5	60.10	10.02	1632.00	162.93	
6	56.20	9.37	909.00	97.04	
' 7 '	68.50	11.42	1129.00	98.89	
8	58.00	9.67	2107.00	217.96	45
9	69.60	11.60	1097.00	94.57	

NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE EQUALS 1

the second of th	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X_10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	12.19	1281.00	113,11
RANGE	11.98	1238.00	161.33
MAX	21.35	2107.00	217.96
MIN	9.37	869.00	56.63

* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL' B	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	12.50	1177.75	100.00
RANGE	11.98	763.00	106.30
MAX	21.35	1632.00	162,93
MIN	9.37	869.00	56.63

COMPOUND	FDA 71-53		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA 9-46
OSE LEVEL	-: HIGH - 5000	MG/KG		•
TREATMENT	IN VIVO, ORAL	L' ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JANUARY 16, 197
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	B	. C	D
NIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E7/0.6ML	TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8
Ĩ	93.00	15.50	33.00	2.13 *
2	123.30	20.55	15.00	.73
.3	90.40	15.07	10.00	•66
4	103.50	17.25	15.00	.87
5 6	91.20	15.20	9.00	•59
7	76.40 97.40	12.73	19.00	1.49
8	89.00	16.23	23.00	1.42
9	147.00	14.83 24.50	21.00 12.00	1.42
O. OF ANI OTAL CFU	MALS EQUALS OUT OF RANGE E	9 QUALS 1		• • •
		COL. B	COL. C	COL. D
		(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
en e	MEAN	16.87	17.44	1.09
	RANGE	11.77	24.00	1.64
	MAX MIN-	24.50 12.73	33.00	2.13
			9.00	

	COL. 6 (X 10E8)	COL. C (X 10E0)	COL. D (X 10E-8)
MEAN	17.05	15.50	.96
RANGE	11.77	14.00	1.00
MAX	24.50	23.00	1.49
MIN	12.73	9.00	49

COMPOUND: FDA 71-53

ORGANISM: SALMONELLA 6-46

DOSE LEVEL: NEGATIVE CONTROL - SALINE

TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, SUBACUTE DATE STARTED: JAMUARY 18, 1974

	<u> </u>	8	C	D
		•	TOTAL NO.	MUTATION
ANTMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU X	MUTANTS X	FRE (C/B)
NUMBER	10E7/0.5ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML	X 10E-8
1	32.10	5.35	9.00	1.68
. 2	53. 20.	8.87	22.00	2.48
3	115.60	19.27	7.00	.36
4	40.20	6.70	7.00	1.04
5	45.2 0 .	7.53	11.00	1.46
6	39.4 0	6.57	13.00	1.98
7	39. 30	6.55	5.00	1.22
8 ,	50.10	8.35	7.00	. 84

NO. OF CONTAMINATED EQUALS 1

	CCL. 3	COL. C	COL. D
 •	(X 1058)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
FEAN	8.65	10.50	1.38
RANGE	13.92	15.00	2.12
∨ A X	19.27	22.00	2,48
w. I. N	5.3 5	7.00	• 36

NO OUTLIERS

DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE CONTROL - DMN - 100 MG/KG TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE B O O O TOTAL NO. MUTATION NUMBER RAW CFU X TOTAL CFU X MUTATION NUTATION NUMBER 1067/0.6ML 1068/1.0ML 1060/1.0ML X 106-8 1 42.40 7.07 4376.00 619.23 * 2 30.20 5.03 1611.00 320.06 3 46.30 7.72 2907.00 376.71 4 36.20 6.03 2073.00 343.58 5 40.70 6.78 1404.00 206.97 4 46.36 7.72 1364.00 176.76 7 36.60 6.16 1376.00 225.57 8 53.20 8.8" 1968.00 221.95 9 45.50 7.58 2270.00 299.33 10 39.40 6.57 1786.00 271.97 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10 COL. 7 COL. C COL. D (X 1069) (X 105-8) NEAN 6.95 213.50 366.21 NEAN 6.95 213.50 366.21 NAME AN 6.95 213.50 366.21						
TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE A B C D TOTAL NO. MUTATION NUMBER RAW CFU X TOTAL CFU X 10E7/0.AML 10E8/1.0ML 10E0/1.0ML X 10E-8 1 42.40 7.07 4376.00 619.23 * 2 30.20 5.03 1611.00 320.06 3 46.30 7.72 2907.00 376.71 4 36.20 6.03 2073.00 343.58 5 40.70 6.78 1404.00 206.97 6 46.36 7.72 1364.00 176.76 7 36.60 6.10 1376.00 225.57 8 53.20 8.8" 1968.00 221.95 9 45.50 7.58 2270.00 229.33 10 39.40 6.57 1786.00 271.97 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10 COL. 6 COL. C COL. D (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) RAMGE 3.83 3012.00 442.48 VAX 8.87 4376.00 619.23	COMPOUND:	FDA 71-53		OPGANISM: SAL	MONELLA G-46	
TREATMENT: IN VIVO, ORAL, ACUTE A B C D TOTAL NO. MUTATION NUMBER RAW CFU X TOTAL CFU X 10E7/0.AML 10E8/1.0ML 10E0/1.0ML X 10E-8 1 42.40 7.07 4376.00 619.23 * 2 30.20 5.03 1611.00 320.06 3 46.30 7.72 2907.00 376.71 4 36.20 6.03 2073.00 343.58 5 40.70 6.78 1404.00 206.97 6 46.36 7.72 1364.00 176.76 7 36.60 6.10 1376.00 225.57 8 53.20 8.8" 1968.00 221.95 9 45.50 7.58 2270.00 229.33 10 39.40 6.57 1786.00 271.97 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10 COL. 6 COL. C COL. D (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) RAMGE 3.83 3012.00 442.48 VAX 8.87 4376.00 619.23	DOSE LEVEL	-: POSITIVE COM	TROL - DMN -	100 MG/KA	فذ	•
ANIMAL RAW CFU X TOTAL CFU X MUTANTS X FRE (C/B) 10E7/0.6ML 10E8/1.0ML 10E0/1.0ML X 10E-B 1		•				1
ANIMAL RAW CFU X TOTAL CFU X MUTANTS X FRE (C/B) NUMBER 10E7/0.6ML 10E8/1.0ML 10E0/1.0ML X 10E-8 1	TREATMENT	IN VIVO, ORAL	., ACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JANUARY 18.	1974
ANIMAL RAW CFU X TOTAL CFU X MUTANTS X FME (C/B) NUMBER 10E7/0.6ML 10E8/1.0ML 10E0/1.0ML X 10E-8 1	* *	a a A	B	C	D	
NUMBER 10E7/0.AML 10E8/1.0ML 10E0/1.0ML X 10E-8 1			•			
10E770.4ML 10E871.0ML 10E071.0ML X 10E-8 1					FRE (C/B)	
2 30.20 5.03 1611.00 320.06 3 46.30 7.72 2907.00 376.71 4 36.20 6.03 2073.00 343.58 5 40.70 6.78 1404.00 206.97 6 46.30 7.72 1364.00 176.76 7 36.60 6.10 1376.00 225.57 8 53.20 8.8" 1968.00 221.95 9 45.50 7.58 2270.00 299.33 10 39.40 6.57 1786.00 271.97 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 15 COL. 6 COL. C COL. D (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) MEAN 6.95 2113.50 306.21 RANGE 3.83 3012.00 442.48 MAX 8.87 4376.00 619.23	NOMEER	10E//0.6ML	10E8/1.0ML	10E0/1.0ML		
2 30.20 5.03 1611.00 320.06 3 46.30 7.72 2907.00 376.71 4 36.20 6.03 2073.00 343.58 5 40.70 6.78 1404.00 206.97 6 46.30 7.72 1364.00 176.76 7 36.60 6.10 1376.00 225.57 8 53.20 8.8" 1968.00 221.95 9 45.50 7.58 2270.00 299.33 10 39.40 6.57 1786.00 271.97 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 15 COL. 6 COL. C COL. D (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) MEAN 6.95 2113.50 306.21 RANGE 3.83 3012.00 442.48 VAX 8.87 4376.00 619.23		42.40	7.07	4376.00	619 23	. 3 4.
5 40.70 6.78 1404.00 206.97 6 46.30 7.72 1364.00 176.76 7 36.60 6.10 1376.00 225.57 8 53.20 8.8" 1968.00 221.95 9 45.50 7.58 2270.00 299.33 10 39.40 6.57 1786.00 271.97 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 15 COL. 6 COL. C COL. D (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) MEAN 6.95 2113.50 306.21 RANGE 3.83 3012.00 442.48 MAX 8.87 4376.00 619.23	. 2	30.20			-	74
5 40.70 6.78 1404.00 206.97 6 46.30 7.72 1364.00 176.76 7 36.60 6.10 1376.00 225.57 8 53.20 8.8" 1968.00 221.95 9 45.50 7.58 2270.00 299.33 10 39.40 6.57 1786.00 271.97 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 15 COL. 9 COL. C COL. D (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) MEAN 6.95 2113.50 306.21 RANGE 3.83 3012.00 442.48 MAX 8.87 4376.00 619.23	3				_	•
5 40.70 6.78 1404.00 206.97 6 46.30 7.72 1364.00 176.76 7 36.60 6.10 1376.00 225.57 8 53.20 8.8" 1968.00 221.95 9 45.50 7.58 2270.00 299.33 10 39.40 6.57 1786.00 271.97 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10 COL. 6 COL. C COL. D (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) NEAN 6.95 2113.50 306.21 RANGE 3.83 3012.00 442.48 MAX 8.87 4376.00 619.23		36.20	6.03			
6 46.30 7.72 1364.00 176.76 7 36.60 6.10 1376.00 225.57 8 53.20 8.8" 1968.00 221.95 9 45.50 7.58 2270.00 299.33 10 39.40 6.57 1786.00 271.97 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10 COL. 6 COL. C COL. D (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) MEAN 6.95 2113.50 306.21 RANGE 3.83 3012.00 442.48 MAX 8.87 4376.00 619.23	5	40.70	6.78			
8 53.20 8.8 1968.00 221.95 9 45.50 7.58 2270.00 299.33 10 39.40 6.57 1786.00 271.97 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10 COL. 6 COL. C COL. D (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) RANGE 3.83 3012.00 442.48 VAX 8.87 4376.00 619.23			7.72			
8 8 1968.00 221.95 45.50 7.58 2270.00 299.33 10 39.40 6.57 1786.00 271.97 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10 COL. C COL. D (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) NEAN 6.95 2113.50 306.21 RANGE 3.83 3012.00 442.48 MAX 8.87 4376.00 619.23				1376.00		
7.58 2270.00 299.33 39.40 6.57 1786.00 271.97 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10 COL. 6 COL. C COL. D (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) MEAN 6.95 2113.50 306.21 RANGE 3.83 3012.00 442.48 MAX 8.87 4376.00 619.23			8.8	1968.00		
10 39.40 6.57 1786.00 271.97 NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS 10 COL. 9 COL. C COL. D (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) MEAN 6.95 2113.50 306.21 RANGE 3.83 3012.00 442.48 MAX 8.87 4376.00 619.23			7,58	2270.00	-	
COL. 8 COL. C COL. D (X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) MEAN 6.95 2113.50 306.21 RANGE 3.83 3012.00 442.48 MAX 8.87 4376.00 619.23	10	39. 40	6.57	1786.00		
(X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) MEAN 6.95 2113.50 306.21 RANGE 3.83 3012.00 442.48 MAX 8.87 4376.00 619.23	NO. OF ANI	MALS EQUALS	15		•	
(X 10E8) (X 10E0) (X 10E-8) MEAN 6.95 2113.50 306.21 RANGE 3.83 3012.00 442.48 MAX 8.87 4376.00 619.23		•	COL. 6	COL. C	COL - D	
MEAN 6.95 2113.50 306.21 RANGE 3.83 3012.00 442.48 MAX 8.87 4376.00 619.23						
8ANGE 3.83 3012.00 442.48 MAX 8.87 4376.00 619.23						
8.87 4376.00 619.23	100 m	·	3.63			
(TA)						
		SIN.	. 5∙03			
					•	

* SUMMARY WITH OUTLIERS REMOVED

	COL. >	COL. C	COL. D
	(X 10E8)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-8)
MEAN	5 • 93	1862.11	271.43
RANGE	3,∘3	1543.00	199.95
MAX	8.87	2907.00	376.71
MINORAL	5.03	1364.00	176.76

COMPOUND: FDA 71-53		ORGANISM: SAL	MONELLA 6-46	
DOSE LEVEL: HIGH - 5000	MG/KG		•	
TREATMENT: IN VIVO: ORAL	. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	JARUARY 18. 1	97
ANIMAL RAW CFU X NUMBER 10E7/0.6ML	B TOTAL CFU X 10E8/1.0ML	C TOTAL NO. MUTANTS X 10E0/1.0ML	D MUTATION FRE (C/B) X 10E-8	
1 58.00 2 70.30 3 82.10 94.80 5 119.90 6 111.60 7 88.60 8 139.40 9 86.40	9.67 11.72 13.68 15.80 19.93 18.60 14.77 23.23	9.00 8.00 17.00 16.00 8.00 11.00 20.00 16.00	.93 .58 1.24 1.01 .40 .59 .74	
NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS TOTAL CFU OUT OF RANGE E	QUALS 1			
MEAN RANGE WAX MIN.	COL. (X 1058) 15.76 13.57 23.23 9.67	COL. C (X 10E0) 12.89 12.00 20.00 8.00	COL. D (X 105-8) .84 .84 1.24	

COMPOUND:	FDA 71-53		ORGANISM: S	ACCHARO (ST CES D
OSF LEVE	L: NEGATIVE CO	VTROL - SALTAF	i de la companya de La companya de la co	
,,,,,	L. HEOMITIC OU		•	
TREATMENT	: IN VIVO, ORAL	. ACUTE	DATE STARTE	D: MAY 2, 1974
	<u>, </u>		C	Ď.
ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU SCRÉENED X	TOTAL	ECOMB/CFU
NUMBER	10E5/1.0ML	1055/1.0ML	RECOUBINANTS	SCREENED X
1	1463.00	1.46	3.00	ව සම
2	349.00	35	8.00	2.05 22.92
3	1071.00	1.07	8.00	7. 7
-4	1199.00	1.20	11,00	9.17
5	608.00	.51	11.00	18.09
6	500.00	•50°	6.00	5.00
7	1209.00	1.21	6.00	4.46
8	616.00		9.00	14,61
9	391.00	. 89	8.00	ે8,9 8
10	725.00	.72	9.00	12.41
TOTAL		⊹ 63	77.00	
O. OF AN	IIMALS EQUALS		egant ekster jeden	
EAN C/ME	AN B =	• 92		
		COL.	COL. C	COL. D
		(X 1025)	(X 10E0)	
	MEAN		7.70	10.87

•	COL	COL. C	CCL. D
	(X 1025)	(X 10EÕ)	(X 10E-5)
MEAN	8.5	7.70	10.87
PANGE	1.11	8.00	20.87
MAX	1.46	11.00	22.2
MIN	• 35	3.00	2.05

NO OUTLIERS

COMPOUND: FDA 71-53		ORGANISM: S	ACCHAROMECES 0-3
DOSE LEVEL: POSITIVE	CONTROL - EMS -	35 MG/KG I.M	•
TREATHENT: IN VIVO, O	RAL, ACUTE	DATE STARTE	D: AY 2. 1974
ANIMAL RAW CFU X NUMBER 10E5/1.0ML	-	C TOTAL RECOMBINANTS 71.0ML	D RECOMB CFU SCREENED X 10E~5
1 1059.00 2 1516.00 3 1138.00 4 558.00 5 856.00 6 1174.00 7 1171.00 8 1188.00 9 825.00	1.06 1.52 1.14 .56 .86 1.17 1.17 1.19	166.00 177.00 186.00 188.00 197.00 207.00 184.00 200.00 195.00	156.75 116.75 163.44 336.92 230.14 176.32 157.13 166.3 236.36
TOTAL	9.49	1700,00	
NO. OF ANIMALS EQUALS	RANGE EQUALS	en e	
MEAN C/MEAN B =	179.23		
MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. (X 10E5) 1. 5 . 6 1.52	COL. C (X 10E6) 183.89 41.00 207.00 166.00	COL. D (X 105-5) 193.57 220.16 336,92
en e	* SUMMARY WITH	OUTHIERS REMOV	VE ::
MEAN C/MEAN B =	169.37		
MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. 3 (X 1085) 1.12 .69 1.52	COL. C (X 10±0) 139.00 41.00 207.00 166.00	COL. D (X 105-5) 175.56 119.61 235.36 116.75

COMPOUND:	FDA 71-53		ORGANISM:	SACCHARONACES D-
DOSE LEVEL	: HIGH - 50	00 MG/AG		
TREATMENT:	IN VIVO, O	PAL. ACUTE	DATE START	ED: MAY 2, 1974
		В	C	D
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10E5/1.0ML	TOTAL CFU SCREENED X 1055/1.0ML	TOTAL HECOMBINANTS ZI.OML	LECOMB CFU S. SCREENED X 10245
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	396.00 1125.00 1352.00 961.00 1285.00 1357.00 1166.00 867.00 1210.00	.40 1.13 1.35 .96 1.29 1.36 1.17 .87 1.21	14.00 14.00 12.00 21.00 17.00 16.00 18.00 23.00 20.00	35.35 12.44 8.85 21.85 13.23 11.79 15.44 29.99
TOTAL		9.72	158.00	•
	MALS EQUALS ENED OUT OF	RANGE EQUALS	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
MEAN C/MEA	N B =	16.26		
	HEAN	COL. (X 10E5) 1.8	COL. C (X 1020) 17.50	COL. D (X 10E-5) 18.39

	•	(X 10ES)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)
	HE4N	1.8	17.56	18.39
	RANGE	• 96	14.00	26.48
	MAX	1.36	26.00	35,35
TITERS	VIN	• 40	12.00	8.88

NO OUTLIERS

HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

· ·					
	COMPOUND: FE	DA 71-53		ORGANISM: SAC	CCHAROMYCES D-3
	DOSE LEVEL:	NEGATIVE (CONTROL - SALIN	E	
	TREATMENT: 1	IN VIVO, O	RAL, SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED:	MARCH 13, 1974
	ANIMAL	A RAW CFU X		C TOTAL RECOMBINANTS	D RECOMB/CFU SCREENED X
	NUMBER]	L0E5/1.0ML	10E5/1.0ML	/1.0ML	10E-5
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	519.00 762.00 815.00 786.00 965.00 580.00 743.00 827.00	.52 .76 .81 .79 .96 .58	10.00 7.00 11.00 11.00 9.00 9.00 6.00	19.27 * 9.19 13.50 13.99 9.33 15.52 8.08
	10 .	890.00	.83 .89 .39	8.00 7.00 5.00	9.67 7.87 12.76
	TOTAL	e regent en en general ge	7.28	83.00	
	NO. OF ANIMA	ALS EQUALS	10		
44 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	MEAN C/MEAN	B =	11.40		
		MEAN RANGE MAX MIN	COL. B (X 10E5) •73 •57 •96 •39	COL. C (X 10E0) 8.30 6.00 11.00 5.00	COL. D (X 10E-5) 11.92 11.40 19.27 7.87
			X CHMMADY WITH	OUTLIERS REMOVE	
The second second	MEAN C/MEAN	B =	10.80	OUTETERS REMOVE	r Para de La Caracteria de Car
		MEAN RANGE MAX	COL. B (X 10E5) •75 •57 •96	COL. C (X 10E0) 8.11 6.00 11.00	COL. D (X 10E-5) 11.10 7.65 15.52

68

- HOST LEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

COMPOUND	FDA 71-53	•	ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROMYCES D-3
DOSE LEV	EL: POSITIVE CO	NTROL - EMS -	350 MG/KG I.M.	
TREATMEN	T: IN VIVO. ORA	L. ACUTE	DATE STARTED	MARCH 13, 1974
	A	B TOTAL CFU	C Total	D ∴AECOMB/CFU
ANIMAL NUMBER	RAW CFU X 10EE/1.UML	SCREENED X 1025/1.0ML	RECORBANANTS	
1 2	794.00 699.00	.79 .70	107.00 66.00	134.76 94.42
3 4 5	635.00 811.00 804.00	.63 .81 .90	75.00 47.00 51.00	118,11. 57,95. 63,43
6 7 8	736.00 756.00 517.00	.74 .76 .62	74.00 63.00 23.00	100,54 03,33 37,28
10	1136.00 879.00	1.14	77.00 88.00	67.78 100.11
TOTAL		7.87	571 a 0 0	• The second sec
NO. 0F A	NIMALS EQUALS	10		
MEAN CIM	EAN 8 = 8	5.29		
adalas (1900) de la composiçõe de la compo La composiçõe de la compo	∵	COL. (% 1025) .79	COL. C (X 10E0) 67.1	COL. D (X 10E-5) 8 .77
	RANGE BAX MIN	.52 1.14 .52	84.00 107.00 23.00	97.48 134.76 37.28
NO OUTLI	ERS	المها الله والطهر المتاه والمتعالية المتاه المتعالمية المتاه	en en al anticologia de la composición de la composición de la composición de la composición de la composición Composición de la composición de la co	

HOST MEDIATED ASSAY REPORT SHEET

	COMPOUND:	FDA 71-53		ORGANISM: SAC	CHAROWYCES 0-3
	DOSE LEVEL	: HIGH - 5000	MG/KG		
	TREATMENT:	IN VIVO. ORA	L. SUBACUTE	DATE STARTED	MARCH 13, 1974
		^ <u>&</u> ^	B		
	ANIMAL	RAW CFU X	TOTAL CFU SCRÉENED X	TOTAL RECOMBINANTS	RECOMB, CFU
r same in a	NUMBER	10E5/1.0ML	10E5/1.0ML	/1.0ML	SCREENED X
	.1	635.00	•63.	17.00	26.77
e e e e g er e e e e	2	683.00	- 12 - 14 - 15 8 1 / 1	8.00	11.71
	3	804.00	.80	11.00	13.58
	4	688.00	• 69	6.00	8.72
	5	732.00	.73	12.00	16.39
	6	1012.00	1.01	15.00	14.52
er er en en e		728.00	.73	7.00	\$.62
• * *	TOTAL	,	5.28	76.00	
		MALS EQUALS Ened out of R	TANGE EQUALS	er dan er de gerieden. Edit	
	MEAN CYMEAT	N B = 24	4.39		e de la companya de La companya de la co
			COL.	COL. C	COL. D
			(X 10 ₅ 5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)
		MEAN	• 75	1.86	14.53
or on the second		RANGE		11.00	15.05
		MAX MIN	1.01 .63	17.00	£ .77
		*** ***		^ 6.0 0	8•
	. *	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	SUMMARY WITH	OUT IERS REMOVE	o :
	MEAN CIMEAN	V B ≔ 12	2,70		
			COL. 5	COL. C	CGL. D
	en de la companya de La companya de la co	e de la Companya de l	(X 10E5)	(X 10E0)	(X 10E-5)
•		MEAN	•77	9.83	2.49
		RANGE	•33	9.00	7.67.
	er e	MAX	1.01	15.00	16.39
		GIN	• စစ်	6.00	8.72
STOP				· •	•

5. Cytogenetics - Test I

a. <u>In vivo</u>

(1) Acute study

The negative control group contained no cells with aberrations. The test compound was essentially negative. Only the 24-hour dosage level groups low and LD5 exhibited one cell with a break. The positive control group contained cells with the expected severe chromosomal damage due to the positive control compound - TEM. The mitotic indices were within normal limits.

(2) Subacute study

The negative control group and all three dosage level groups of the test compound contained no aberrations. The mitotic indices were within normal limits.

b. <u>In vitro</u>

The negative control group contained two cells with bridges, one of which contained an acentric fragment. The high level contained one cell with a bridge, the medium level contained two and the low level none. The positive control was within normal limits.

c. CYTOGENETIC SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-53

POWDERED AGAR

TEST I



POWDERED AGAR FDA 71-53 **ACUTE STUDY** METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET TEST I

Compound	Dosage (mg/kg)	<u>Time*</u>	No. of <u>Animals</u>	No. of Cells	Mitotic Index %***	% Cells with Breaks	% Cells with <u>Reunion</u>	% Cells Other Aber.**	% Cells with Aber.
Negative Control	Saline	6 24 48	3 3 3	150 150 150	6 6 6	0 0	0 0 0	0	0
Low Level	7.15	6 24 48	5 5 5	234 250 250	6 5	0 0.4 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0.4
Intermediate Level	71.5	6 24 48	5 5 5	250 250 250	5 6 5	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0
LD ₅	715	6 24 48	5 5 5	250 250 250	4 5 4	0 0.4 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0.4 0
Positive Control TEM	0.3	48	5	250	5	1.2	22	8(a), 4.4(f)	30

Time of kill after injection (hours).
Cells that have polyploidy (P), pulverization (pp), or greater than 10 aberrations (a).
Percent of cells in mitosis: 500 cells observed/animal.

Duplicate aberrations in a single cell will cause this to be a % less than a summation of the % aberration seen.

POWDERED AGAR FDA 71-53 SUBACUTE STUDY METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET TEST I

Compound	Dosage (mg/kg)*	No. of <u>Animals</u>	No. of Cells	Mitotic Index %***	% Cells with Breaks	% Cells with Reunion	% Cells Other Aber.**	% Cells with Aber.
Negative Control	Saline	3	150	7	0	0	0	0
Low Level	7.15	5	250	5	0	0	0	0
Intermediate Level	71.5	5	250	6	0	0	0	0
LD ₅	715	5	250	5	0	0	0	0

۲.

Dosage 1X/day X 5 days.
Cells that have polyploidy (P), pulverization (pp), fragments (f) or greater than 10 aberrations (a).
Percent of cells in mitosis: 500 cells observed/animal.

POWDERED AGAR FDA 71-53 ANAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET TEST I

Compound	Dosage (mcg/ml)	Mitotic Index**	No. of Cells	% Cells with Acentric Frag.	% Cells with Bridges	% Multipolar Cells	% Cells Other Aber.*	% Cells with Aber.
Low Level	10	1	100	0	0	0	0	0
Medium Level	100	1	100	0	2	0	0	2
High Level	1000	1	100	0	1	0	0	1
Negative Control	Saline	1	100	1	2	0	0	2
Positive Control (TEM)	0.1	ı	100	3	12	0	0	15

<sup>Cells that have polyploidy (P), pulverization (pp), or greater than 10 aberrations (a).
Percent of cells in mitosis: 200 cells observed/dose level.
Duplicate aberrations in a single cell will cause this to be a % less than a summation of the % aberration seen.</sup>

6. Cytogenetics - Test II

Compound FDA 71-53, Powdered Agar, was administered to male rats with an average body weight of 300-350 grams. In the acute study (single dose) and in the subacute study (five doses) a dose of 5000 mg/kg was employed. Metaphase chromosome spreads were prepared from the bone marrow cells of these animals and scored for chromosomal aberrations.

Neither the variety nor the number of these aberrations differed significantly from the negative controls; hence, compound FDA 71-53, Powdered Agar, can be considered non-mutagenic as measured by the cytogenetic test.

CYTOGENETIC SUMMARY SHEETS

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-53

POWDERED AGAR

TEST II



POWDERED AGAR FDA 71-53 ACUTE STUDY METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET TEST II

Compound	Dosage (mg/kg)	Time*	No. of Animals	No. of Cells	Mitotic ₊₊ Index %	No. of Cells w/ Breaks**	No. of Cells w/ Reunion**	No. of Cells With Other Aberrations**	No. of Cells w/ Aber.**
High Level	5000	6 hrs. 24 hrs. 48 hrs.	5 5 5	240 250 250	4.43 4.72 4.06	0 0 0	1(0.42) 1(0.40) 2(0.80)	0 0 0	1(0.42) 1(0.40) 2(0.80)
Negative Control	Food Pellet	6 hrs. 24 hrs. 48 hrs.	3 3 3	150 150 150	8.06 9.40 8.13	0 0 0	0 0 1(0.66)	0 0 0	0 0 1(0.66)
Positive Control TEM	0.3	24 hrs.	5 _	250	4.65	14(5.6)	34(13.6)	>12(4.8) 7f(2.8) 1pp(0.4) 1pu(0.4)	58(23.2)

\$.

Time of kill after dosing.

Numbers in () are percent aberrations per total cells counted.

Symbols: > = greater than 10 aberrations per cell; f = fragments; pp = polyploid; and pu = pulverization.

Based on a count of at least 500 cells per animal.

POWDERED AGAR FDA 71-53 SUBACUTE STUDY METAPHASE SUMMARY SHEET TEST II

Compound	Dosage (mg/kg)	No. of <u>Animals</u>	No. of Cells	· Mitotic Index % ⁺⁺	No. of Cells w/ Breaks**	No. of Cells w/ Reunion**	No. of Cells w/ Other Aber.**	No. of Cells w/ Aber.**
High Level	5000	4	200	3.12	0	2(1.00)	2pp(1.00)	4(2.00)
Negative Control	Food Pellet	3	150	5.60	0	0	0	0

٤.

^{**} Numbers in () are percent aberrations per total cells counted. ++ Based on a count of at least 500 cells per animal.

7. Dominant Lethal Study - Test I

a. Acute study

Significant increases in average implantations and average resorptions were seen in the low dose group at week 8. These increases were also shown in proportion of females with two or more dead implants and dead implants per total implants.

b. Subacute study

Significant differences between the negative control and experimental groups were shown in a few instances at various weeks throughout the parameters. However, no strong indications were seen.

c. DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY SUMMARY TABLES

CONTRACT FDA 71-268

COMPOUND FDA 71-53

POWDERED AGAR

TEST I

(Through error the computer had been programmed so that a double rounding off of numbers occurred at print out. In no way does this alter the statistics which are calculated on the full unrounded numbers.)



TABLE I

COMPOUND 53

STUDY ACUTE

99999999999

FERTILITY INDEX

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	95/139=0.69	14/20=0.70	14/20=0.70	13/20=0.65	13/20=0.65	14/20=0.70
		2	103/139=0.75	16/20=0.80	18/20=0.90	18/20=0.90	14/20=0.70	15/20=0.75
		3	104/138=0.76	15/20=0.75	19/20=0.95	17/20=0.85	19/20=0.95	15/20=0.75
		4	118/140=0.85	18/20=0.90	17/20=0.85	18/20=0.90	19/20=0.95	14/20=0.70
		5	110/139=0.80	17/20=0.85	15/19=0.79	17/20=0.85	17/20=0.85	15/18=0.84
န		6	109/139=0.79	19/20=0.95	16/20=0.80	17/20=0.85	19/20=0.95	17/20=0.85
		7	117/138=0.85	16/19=0.85	15/20=0.75	17/20=0.85	18/20=0.90	17/19=0.90
		8	116/140=0.83	17/20=0.85	18/19=0.95	15/20=0.75	18/20=0.90	15/20=0.75

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE II

COMPOUND 53

STUDY ACUTE

AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

OG OSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	7.150 MG/KG	71.500 MG/KG	715.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE
		1	1180/ 95=12.4	171/14=12.2	153/14=10.9	161/13=12.4	169/13=13.0	166/14=11.9
		2	1223/103=11.9	204/16=12.8	215/18=11.9	219/18=12.2	171/14=12.2	184/15=12.3
11	1133	3	1276/104=12.3	159/15=10.6 *@D	199/19=10.5 *aD	192/17=11.3	241/19=12.7*@@I	173/15=11.5
		4 .	1408/118=11.9	218/18=12.1	188/17=11.1	220/18=12.2	210/19=11.1	172/14=12.3
		5	1290/110=11.7	176/17=10.4	190/15=12.7 * @@i	I 206/17=12.1aI	189/17=11.1	172/15=11.5
10	ε!	6	1292/109=11.9	220/19=11.6	169/16=10.6 aD	180/17=10.6 ai	236/19=12.4	204/17=12.0
		7	1436/117=12.3	190/16=11.9	193/15=12.9	207/17=12.2	208/18=11.6	209/17=12.3
		8	1353/116=11.7	198/17=11.7	235/18=13.1*ai **a		216/18=12.0	186/15=12.4

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, δ , $\tilde{\omega}$, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, δ , $\tilde{\omega}$, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*.} D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

^{8,!} SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE III

COMPOUND 53

STUDY ACUTE

99999999999999

AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	1322/ 95=13.9	182/14=13.0 @D	196/14=14.0	176/13=13.5	178/13=13.7	190/14=13.6
		2	1359/103=13.2	229/16=14.3	241/18=13.4	244/18=13.6	191/14=13.6	202/15=13.5
§ !	ε!	3	1364/104=13.1	201/15=13.4	254/19=13.4	230/17=13.5	274/19=14.4 @I	222/15=14.8 *@I
		4	1532/118=13.0	252/18=14.0	230/17=13.5	241/18=13.4	243/19=12.8	189/14=13.5
11 11		5	1428/110=13.0	220/17=12.9	208/15=13.9	224/17=13.2	216/17=12.7	192/15=12.8
,	1	6	1446/109=13.3	243/19=12.8	208/16=13.0	205/17=12.1 ai	261/19=13.7	233/17=13.7
!	ε 1	7	1543/117=13.2	224/16=14.0	228/15=15.2 ∂I	234/17=13.8	230/18=12.8	233/17=13.7
		8	1599/116=13.8	224/17=13.2	252/18=14.0	209/15=13.9	229/18=12.7 ap	197/15=13.1

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

*, @ SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE IV

COMPOUND 53

STUDY ACUTE

999999999999999

AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE D		DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		.1	142/ 95= 1.5	11/14= 0.8	43/14= 3.1@I	15/13= 1.2	9/13= 0.7	24/14= 1.7
		2	136/103= 1.3	25/16= 1.6	26/18= 1.4	25/18= 1.4	20/14= 1.4	18/15= 1.2
; E ! !		3	88/104= 0.9	42/15= 2.8 **@@I	55/19= 2.9 *@â	38/17= 2.2 **	33/19= 1.7 *aaı *aı	49/15= 3.3 **@@
		4	124/118= 1.1	34/18= 1.9 *@I	42/17= 2.5 @I	21/18= 1.2	33/19= 1.7	17/14= 1.2
12		5	138/110= 1.3	44/17= 2.6	18/15= 1.2	18/17= 1.1	27/17= 1.6	20/15= 1.3
		6	154/109= 1.4	23/19= 1.2	39/16= 2.4 @I	25/17= 1.5	25/19= 1.3	29/17= 1.7
1		7	107/117= 0.9	34/16= 2.1 **@@I		•	22/18= 1.2	24/17= 1.4 @I
1	1	8	246/116= 2.1	26/17= 1.5	17/18= 0.9 *an		13/18= 0.7 **aa	

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, \mathcal{E} , ∂ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, \mathcal{E} , ∂ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

TABLE V

COMPOUND 53

STUDY ACUTE

8888888888888

AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
	ε !	1	20/ 95=0.22	8/14=0.58	1/14=0.08DD	2/13=0.16	7/13=0.54	18/14=1.29 **@@I
	!	2	43/103=0.42	10/16=0.63	6/18=0.34	3/18=0.17 aD	12/14=0.86	32/15=2.14*@I **@@I
		3	53/104=0.51	8/15=0.54	9/19=0.48	3/17=0.18aD *aD	10/19=0.53	22/15=1.47 @I
		ų	53/118=0.45	9/18=0.50	17/17=1.00	11/18=0.62	13/19=0.69	11/14=0.79
!		5	60/110=0.55	14/17=0.83	5/15=0.34	12/17=0.71	3/17=0.18*@D *@D	18/15=1.20 @I
13		6	45/109=0.42	13/19=0.69	5/16=0.32	8/17=0.48	12/19=0.64	16/17=0.95
	I	7	53/117=0.46	12/16=0.75	9/15=0.60	8/17=0.48	14/18=0.78 *aI	8/17=0.48
	!	8	65/116=0.57	6/17=0.36	19/18=1.06*@dI *@I	10/15=0.67	7/18=0.39	11/15=0.74

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, &, @, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, &, @, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*,} a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

^{8,!} SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VI
COMPOUND 53 STUDY ACUTE

PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

OG OSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	19/ 95=0.20	5/14=0.36	1/14=0.08	2/13=0.16	5/13=0.39	9/14=0.65
		2	32/103=0.32	6/16=0.38	6/18=0.34	3/18=0.17	7/14=0.50	11/15=0.74*
٠		3	32/104=0.31	7/15=0.47	7/19=0.37	3/17=0.18	8/19=0.43	9/15=0.60
		4	39/118=0.34	7/18=0.39	7/17=0.42	4/18=0.23	10/19=0.53	4/14=0.29
14		5	36/110=0.33	9/17=0.53	3/15=0.20	5/17=0.30	2/17=0.12*	9/15=0.60
,		6	36/109=0.34	8/19=0.43	5/16=0.32	5/17=0.30	6/19=0.32	8/17=0.48
	! !	7	38/117=0.33	8/16=0.50	5/15=0.34	4/17=0.24	11/18=0.62	8/17=0.48
	-	8	44/116=0.38	6/17=0.36	12/18=0.67	9/15=0.60	4/18=0.23	7/15=0.47

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VII
COMPOUND 53 STUDY ACUTE

PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

OG OSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
	! ! ! !	1	1/ 95=0.02	2/14=0.15	0/14=0.0	0/13=0.0	2/13=0.16	5/14=0.36
	! !	2	11/103=0.11	3/16=0.19	0/18=0.0	0/18=0.0	4/14=0.29	4/15=0.27
		3	16/104=0.16	1/15=0.07	2/19=0.11	0/17=0.0	1/19=0.06	3/15=0.20
		4	11/118=0.10	1/18=0.06	5/17=0.30	1/18=0.06	3/19=0.16	2/14=0.15
15		5	16/110=0.15	2/17=0.12	2/15=0.14	1/17=0.06	1/17=0.06	3/15=0.20
•		6	9/109=0.09	4/19=0.22	0/16=0.0	2/17=0.12	4/19=0.22	3/17=0.18
		7	11/117=0.10	3/16=0.19	4/15=0.27 *	2/17=0.12	3/18=0.17	0/17=0.0
		8	18/116=0.16	0/17=0.0	6/18=0.34**	1/15=0.07	2/18=0.12	3/15=0.20

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

99999999999999

TABLE VIII
COMPOUND 53 STUDY ACUTE

DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
1	20/1180=0.02	8/171=0.05	1/153=0.01	2/161=0.02	7/169=0.05	18/166=0.11 **aa
2	43/1223=0.04	10/204=0.05	6/215=0.03	3/219=0.02 *ā	12/171=0.08	32/184=0.189I @I
3	53/1276=0.05	8/159=0.06	9/199=0.05	3/192=0.02@I *a	*	22/173=0.13
4	53/1408=0.04	9/218=0.05	17/188=0.10	11/220=0.05	13/210=0.07	11/172=0.07
5	60/1290=0.05	14/176=0.08	5/190=0.03aD aD	12/206=0.06	3/189=0.02*aD *aaD	18/172=0.11
6	45/1292=0.04	13/220=0.06	5/169=0.03	8/180=0.05	12/236=0.06	16/204=0.08
7	53/1436=0.04	12/190=0.07	9/193=0.05	8/207=0.04	14/208=0.07 @I	8/209=0.04
8	65/1353=0.05	6/198=0.04	19/235=0.09*@I	10/183=0.06	7/216=0.04	11/186=0.06

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

^{* =} TWO-TAILED TEST

^{@ =} ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE *,0 = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO *,0 = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*,} a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

TABLE I

999999999999

COMPOUND 53

STUDY SUBACUTE

FERTILITY INDEX

.OG	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG
		1	92/139=0.67	12/20=0.60	12/20=0.60	12/20=0.60	15/20=0.75
		2	104/140=0.75	14/20=0.70	17/20=0.85	13/20=0.65	15/20=0.75
		3	101/139=0.73	18/20=0.90	16/20=0.80	16/20=0.80	17/19=0.90
		4	104/134=0.78	16/20=0.80	19/20=0.95	15/20=0.75	16/20=0.80
17		5	108/139=0.78	14/18=0.78	19/19=1.00*	15/20=0.75	17/20=0.85
		6	120/139=0.87	16/20=0.80	18/20=0.90	12/20=0.60	16/18=0.89
٠		7	117/135=0.87	18/20=0.90	18/20=0.90	12/20=0.60*	16/20=0.80

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE II COMPOUND 53 STUDY SUBACUTE

99999999999999

AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

OG OSE	ΛRITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	715.000 MG/KG
		1	1084/ 92=11.8	147/12=12.3	149/12=12.4	142/12=11.8	176/15=11.7
		2	1301/104=12.5	173/14=12.4	190/17=11.2 *@a	152/13=11.7	183/15=12.2
		3	1196/101=11.8	209/18=11.6	192/16=12.0	203/16=12.7	197/17=11.6
		4	1221/104=11.7	193/16=12.1	203/19=10.7*ai *ai		193/16=12.1
غسو	,	5	1299/108=12.0	163/14=11.6	219/19=11.5	178/15=11.9	194/17=11.4
0		6	1437/120=12.0	189/16=11.8	198/18=11.0 aD	144/12=12.0	185/16=11.6
		7	1352/117=11.6	214/18=11.9	204/18=11.3	134/12=11.2	184/16=11.5

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, &, &, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, &, a, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*,} a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE III

RAGARABABABARAAAA

COMPOUND 53

STUDY SUBACUTE

AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	71.500 MG/KG	715.000 MG/KG
		1	1218/ 92=13.2	167/12=13.9	156/12=13.0	148/12=12.3@D	•
		2	1395/104=13.4	204/14=14.6	238/17=14.0	168/13=12.9@D	200/15=13.3
		3	1290/101=12.8	245/18=13.6	218/16=13.6 ai	220/16=13.8	227/17=13.4
		4	1285/104=12.4	214/16=13.4	226/19=11.9	192/15=12.8	202/16=12.6
19		5	1366/108=12.7	188/14=13.4	236/19=12.4	193/15=12.9	218/17=12.8
!		6	1580/120=13.2	229/16=14.3	228/18=12.7	187/12=15.6	226/16=14.1
		7	1474/117=12.6	237/18=13.2	238/18=13.2	152/12=12.7	203/16=12.7

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, &, ω , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, &, ω , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

TABLE IV

COMPOUND 53

STUDY SUBACUTE

AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL		SE LEVEL E 71.500 MG/KG	OSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG
		1	134/ 92= 1.5	20/12= 1.7	7/12= 0.6 aD	6/12= 0.5 *@@	24/15= 1.6
!		2	94/104= 0.9	31/14= 2.2	48/17= 2.8 **@@I	16/13= 1.2	17/15= 1.1
1		3	94/101= 0.9	36/18= 2.0 @I	26/16= 1.6	17/16= 1.1	30/17= 1.8
ر د د		4	64/104= 0.6	21/16= 1.3	23/19= 1.2	10/15= 0.7	. 9/16= 0.6
; !	: !	5	67/108= 0.6	25/14= 1.8	17/19= 0.9	15/15= 1.0	24/17= 1.4
58!!	ε !!	6	143/120= 1.2	40/16= 2.5	30/18= 1.7	43/12= 3.6 *@@	41/16= 2.6 *@@I
		7	122/117= 1.0	23/18= 1.3	34/18= 1.9	18/12= 1.5	19/16= 1.2

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE $!, \varepsilon, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT$ AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO $!, \varepsilon, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT$ AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, @ SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

TABLE V

COMPOUND 53

STUDY SUBACUTE

AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

OG OS E	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	715.000 MG/KG
. 1	!	1	35/ 92=0.39	5/12=0.42	2/12=0.17	3/12=0.25	1/15=0.07 **@@D
		2	49/104=0.48	10/14=0.72	2/17=0.12*aD *aaD	14/13=1.08 aI	6/15=0.40
	•	3	55/101=0.55	14/18=0.78	7/16=0.44	10/16=0.63	11/17=0.65
		4	61/104=0.59	5/16=0.32	15/19=0.79	11/15=0.74	7/16=0.44
21		5	71/108=0.66	7/14=0.50	7/19=0.37	11/15=0.74	9/17=0.53
		6	47/120=0.40	15/16=0.94 *aaI	9/18=0.50	13/12=1.09	8/16=0.50
		7	59/117=0.51	11/18=0.62	12/18=0.67	6/12=0.50	7/16=0.44

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !. ε , ∂ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !. ε , ∂ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, @ SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

TABLE VI
COMPOUND 53 STUDY SUBACUTE

PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

LOG Dose	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG
? ?		1	28/ 92=0.31	3/12=0.25	2/12=0.17	2/12=0.17	1/15=0.07
		2	32/104=0.31	6/14=0.43	2/17=0.12*	7/13=0.54	6/15=0.40
	•	3	34/101=0.34	8/18=0.45	5/16=0.32	9/16=0.57	7/17=0.42
		4	38/104=0.37	4/16=0.25	8/19=0.43	8/15=0.54	6/16=0.38
2:		5	49/108=0.46	5/14=0.36	7/19=0.37	8/15=0.54	7/17=0.42
%		6	33/120=0.28	10/16=0.63	8/18=0.45	6/12=0.50	7/16=0.44
		7	34/117=0.30	8/18=0.45	9/18=0.50	5/12=0.42	6/16=0.38

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VII
COMPOUND 53 STUDY SUBACUTE

COMPOUND 55 STOR

PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

999999999999

LOG Dose	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG
		1	6/ 92=0.07	2/12=0.17	0/12=0.0	1/12=0.09	0/15=0.0
		2	8/104=0.08	2/14=0.15	0/17=0.0	4/13=0.31	0/15=0.0
	·	3	14/101=0.14	3/18=0.17	1/16=0.07	1/16=0.07	4/17=0.24
		4	14/104=0.14	1/16=0.07	3/19=0.16	2/15=0.14	1/16=0.07
1 20		5	18/108=0.17	1/14=0.08	0/19=0.0	3/15=0.20	2/17=0.12
ယ်		6	9/120=0.08	4/16=0.25	1/18=0.06	1/12=0.09	1/16=0.07
		7	14/117=0.12	2/18=0.12	2/18=0.12	1/12=0.09	1/16=0.07

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

9999999999999

TABLE VIII

COMPOUND 53

STUDY SUBACUTE

DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 7.150 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 71.500 MG/KG	DOSE LEVEL 715.000 MG/KG
1	35/1084=0.04	5/147=0.04	-2/149=0.02 aD	3/142=0.03	1/176=0.01 *aD
2	49/1301=0.04	10/173=0.06	2/190=0.02aD *aa!	14/152=0.10 0 @I	6/183=0.04
3	55/1196=0.05	14/209=0.07	7/192=0.04	10/203=0.05	11/197=0.06
4	61/1221=0.05	5/193=0.03	15/203=0.08	11/182=0.07	7/193=0.04
5	71/1299=0.06	7/163=0.05	7/219=0.04 *aD	11/178=0.07	9/194=0.05
6	47/1437=0.04	15/189=0.08 @I	9/198=0.05	13/144=0.10	8/185=0.05
7	59/1352=0.05	11/214=0.06	12/204=0.06	6/134=0.05	7/184=0.04

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

* = TWO-TAILED TEST

@ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE *, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO *, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

8. Dominant Lethal Study - Test II

Compound FDA 71-53, Powdered Agar, was administered to ten male rats (400 grams) at a dose level of 5,000 mg/kg according to acute (single dose) and subacute (five doses) protocols. Each treated male rat was mated with two virgin female rats each week for seven (subacute) or eight (acute) weeks. Two weeks after mating, these female rats were sacrificed and the fertility index, preimplantation loss and lethal effects on the embryos were determined and compared with those same parameters calculated from negative (saline-dosed) and positive (0.3 mg/kg TEM-dosed) control animals.

The values calculated for those parameters from animals dosed with compound FDA 71-53, Powdered Agar, did not significantly vary from those obtained from the negative controls; whereas, TEM caused a significant preimplantation loss and embryo resorption during the first five weeks.

Comparing these data with the previously obtained values for dose levels 715 mg/kg, 71.5 mg/kg and 7.15 mg/kg revealed no dose-response or time-trend patterns, thus indicating that compound FDA 71-53, Powdered Agar, does not induce dominant lethal mutations as measured by this test.

DOMINANT LETHAL ASSAY SUMMARY TABLES CONTRACT FDA 71-268 COMPOUND FDA 71-53 POWDERED AGAR TEST II

(Through error the computer had been programmed so that a double rounding off of numbers occurred at print out. In no way does this alter the statistics which are calculated on the full unrounded numbers.)



COMPOUND 53

STUDY ACUTE

FERTILITY INDEX

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
÷		1	138/199=0.70	16/ 20=0.80	14/ 20=0.70	10/ 20=0.50*
	,	2	154/199=0.78	15/ 20=0.75	13/ 20=0.65	12/ 20=0.60
		3	154/198=0.78	14/ 20=0.70	11/ 20=0.55	4/ 20=0.20** **
		4	172/200=0.86	17/ 20=0.85	12/ 20=0.60	6/ 20=0.30**
		5	160/199=0.81	15/ 20=0.75	13/ 20=0.65	15/ 20=0.75
		6	156/199=0.79	19/ 20=0.95	16/ 20=0.80	14/ 20=0.70*
		7	169/197=0.86	15/ 20=0.75	14/ 20=0.70	15/ 20=0.75
		8	166/200=0.83	16/ 20=0.80	15/ 20=0.75	14/ 20=0.70

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE II

COMPOUND 53

STUDY ACUTE

AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

I.OG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. AG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	1720/138=12.5	203/ 16=12.7	136/ 14= 9.7*aD *aD	100/ 10=10.0**@@D **@@D
		2	1871/154=12.2	182/ 15=12.1	169/ 13=13.0	81/ 12= 6.8**ddD **ddD
		3	1867/154=12.1	173/ 14=12.4	128/ 11=11.6	25/ 4= 6.3**aaD **aaD
		4	2063/172=12.0	208/ 17=12.2	137/ 12=11.4	32/ 6= 5.3**aad **add
		5	1906/160=11.9	193/ 15=12.9 *@I	170/ 13=13.1 *@I	149/ 15= 9.9**aaD *aD
		6	1868/156=12.0	254/ 19=13.4 *@@	186/ 16=11.6@D	185/ 14=13.2 *aI
		7	2082/169=12.3	183/ 15=12.2	169/ 14=12.1	184/ 15=12.3
		8	1978/166=11.9	199/ 16=12.4	180/ 15=12.0	182/ 14=13.0 *aaī

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO+TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, ε , ϑ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ε , ϑ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

2*, @ SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

TABLE III

COMPOUND 53

STUDY ACUTE

AVERAGE CORPORA LUTEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE		HISTORICAL CONTROL		GATIVE ONTROL		SE LÉVEL)O. MG/KG	I	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	1936/138=14.0	251/	16=15.7 *@I	196/	14=14.0@D	126/	10=12.6**@@D @D
		2	2120/154=13.8	217/	15=14.5	192/	13=14.8 @I	168/	12=14.0
		3	2087/154=13.6	215/	14=15.4 *@@:		11=14.6 *@@I		4=13.5*@D
		4	2299/172=13.4	232/	17=13.7	159/	12=13.3	77/	6=12.8
		5	2132/160=13.3	220/	15=14.7 *@I	209/	13=16.1 **aa		15=13.4
		6	2100/156=13.5	302/	19=15.9		16=14.6	252/	14=18.0 **aai
		7	2284/169=13.5	203/	15=13.5	203/	14=14.5	221/	15=14.7 *@I
		8	2330/166=14.0	217/	16=13.6	211/	15=14.1	212/	14=15.1@I

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !. ϵ , δ ,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ϵ , δ ,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*.@} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

8.! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE IV

COMPOUND 53

STUDY ACUTE

AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	216/138= 1.6	48/ 16= 3.0	60/ 14= 4.3 *@@I	26/ 10= 2.6
		2	249/154= 1.6	35/ 15= 2.3 **@@I	23/ 13= 1.8	87/ 12= 7.3**@@I **@@I
		3	220/154= 1.4	42/ 14= 3.0 **aaı	32/ 11= 2.9 *@I	29/ 4= 7.3**d@I **d@I
	***************************************	4	236/172= 1.4	24/ 17= 1.4	22/ 12= 1.8	45/ 6= 7.5**@@I **@@I
		5	226/160= 1.4	27/ 15= 1.8	39/ 13= 3.0 **@@I	52/ 15= 3.5 **@@I
		6	232/156= 1.5	48/ 19= 2.5 *aar	47/ 16= 2.9 *aI	67/ 14= 4.8 **aai
		7	202/169= 1.2	20/ 15= 1.3	34/ 14= 2.40I **adi	
		8	352/166= 2.1	18/ 16= 1.1	31/ 15= 2.1	30/ 14= 2.1

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, &, \alpha, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, \alpha, \alpha = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

* * D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

8. SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

STUDY ACUTE

AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG ARI		HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
	1	37/138=0.27	15/ 16=0.94 *@I	10/ 14=0.72	88/ 10=8.80**@@I **@@I
	2	72/154=0.47	13/ 15=0.87	16/.13=1.24	69/ 12=5.75**@@I **@@I
	3	89/154=0.58	3/ 14=0.22 *@D	4/ 11=0.37	25/ 4=6.25**@@I **@@I
	4	85/172=0.50	8/ 17=0.48	5/ 12=0.42	29/ 6=4.84**@@I **@@I
l	5	98/160=0.62	6/ 15=0.40	9/ 13=0.70	55/ 15=3.67**@@I **@@I
	6	76/156=0.49	17/ 19=0.90	11/ 16=0.69	19/ 14=1.36 *@I
	7	87/169=0.52	3/ 15=0.20 *aD	5/ 14=0.36	19/ 15=1.27**@@I **@@I
·	8	87/166=0.53	9/ 16=0.57	16/ 15=1.07	15/ 14=1.08

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, &, D, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, &, a, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*.} D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[&]amp;,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

STUDY ACUTE

PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

DOSE Log	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
		1	31/138=0.23	8/ 16=0.50	6/ 14=0.43	10/ 10=1.00**
		. 2	52/154=0.34	6/ 15=0.40	6/ 13=0.47	12/ 12=1.00**
		3	55/154=0.36	2/ 14=0.15	3/ 11=0.28	4/ 4=1.00**
		4	64/172=0.38	7/ 17=0.42	3/ 12=0.25	6/ 6=1.00* **
	·	5	60/160=0.38	6/ 15=0.40	7/ 13=0.54	15/ 15=1.00**
		6	55/156=0.36	10/ 19=0.53	8/ 16=0.50	9/ 14=0.65
		7	62/169=0.37	3/ 15=0.20	5/ 14=0.36	11/ 15=0.74**
		8	62/166=0.38	7/ 15=0.44	6/ 15=0.40	8/ 14=0.58

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

STUDY ACUTE

PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

G SE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
٠		1	4/138=0.03	4/ 16=0.25	3/ 14=0.22	10/ 10=1.00**
		2	18/154=0.12	4/ 15=0.27	4/ .13=0.31	12/ 12=1.00**
		3	25/154=0.17	1/ 14=0.08	1/ 11=0.10	4/ 4=1.00** **
	•	4	15/172=0.09	1/ 17=0.06	2/ 12=0.17	5/ 6=0.84** **
	÷	5	24/160=0.15	0/15=0.0	2/ 13=0.16	12/ 15=0.80**
		6	17/156=0.11	4/ 19=0.22	3/ 16=0.19	4/ 14=0.29
		7	20/169=0.12	0/ 15=0.0	0/14=0.0	5/ 15=0.34*
		8	20/166=0.13	2/ 16=0.13	4/ 15=0.27	3/ 14=0.22

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE ! * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO ! * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VIII

COMPOUND 53

STUDY ACUTE

DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG	POSITIVE CONTROL
1	37/1720=0.02	15/203=0.07 *@I	10/136=0.07 @I	88/100=0.88**aaI **aaI
2	72/1871=0.04	13/182=0.07	16/169=0.09	69/ 81=0.85**@aI **aaI
3	89/1867=0.05	3/173=0.02 *aaD	4/128=0.03	25/ 25=1.00**aaI **aaI
4	85/2063=0.04	8/208=0.04	5/137=0.04	29/ 32=0.91**aaT **aaI
5	98/1906=0.05	6/193=0.03	9/170=0.05	55/149=0.37**aaI **aaI
6	76/1868=0.04	17/254=0.07	11/186=0.06	19/185=0.10 aI
7	87/2082=0.04	3/183=0.02 *aD	5/169=0.03	19/184=0.10**aai *aai
8	87/1978=0.04	9/199=0.05	16/180=0.09	15/182=0.08

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

* = TWO-TAILED TEST a = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE *.a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO *.a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{2 *,} a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

TABLE I

COMPOUND 53

STUDY SUBACUTE

FERTILITY INDEX

OG OSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
		1	134/199=0.68	14/ 20=0.70	10/ 20=0.50
		2	152/200=0.76	14/ 20=0.70	13/ 20=0.65
		3	156/199=0.79	15/ 20=0.75	15/ 20=0.75
		4	153/194=0.79	17/ 20=0.85	17/ 20=0.85
		5	155/197=0.79	16/ 20=0.80	16/ 20=0.80
		6	168/199=0.85	18/ 20=0.90	16/ 20=0.80
		7	169/195=0.87	17/ 20=0.85	15/ 20=0.75

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

STUDY SUBACUTE

AVERAGE NUMBER OF IMPLANTATIONS PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE		HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL		SE LEVEL DO. MG/KG
	•	1	1630/134=12.2	162/ 14=11.	6 121/	10=12.1
		2	1904/152=12.5	182/ 14=13.	0 165/	13=12.7
		3	1864/156=12.0	192/ 15=12.	8 180/	15=12.0
		4	1791/153=11.7	207/ 17= 12.	2 209/	17=12.3
		5	1874/155=12.1	205/ 16=12.	8 197/	16=12.3
		6	2021/168=12.0	216/ 18=12.	0 188/	16=11.8
		7	1954/169=11.6	219/ 17=12.9	9 194/ **@@I	15=12.9 *@I

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE $!, \varepsilon, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT$ AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO $!, \varepsilon, \partial, * = SIGNIFICANT$ AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, @ SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE III

COMPOUND 53

STUDY SUBACUTE

AVERAGE CORPORA LUIEA PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG ARITH HISTORICAL NEGATIVE DOSE LEVEL DOSE DOSE WEEK CONTROL CONTROL 5000. MG/KG

Annual Reservoire Reservoire Annual September September

- 1 1855/134=13.8 195/ 14=13.9 136/ 10=13.6
- 2 2110/152=13.9 232/ 14=16.6 202/.13=15.5 **aai
- 3 2085/156=13.4 244/ 15=16.3 214/ 15=14.3ab **aai *ai
- 4 1953/153=12.8 244/ 17=14.4 243/ 17=14.3 **@@I
- 5 2079/155=13.4 254/ 16=15.9 220/ 16=13.8*daD **aaI
- 6 2290/168=13.6 259/ 18=14.4 217/ 16=13.6
- 7 2218/169=13.1 287/ 17=16.9 228/ 15=15.2aD **aai **aai

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, δ , δ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, δ , δ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL 8,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE IV

COMPOUND 53

STUDY SUBACUTE

AVERAGE PREIMPLANTATION LOSSES PER PREGNANT FEMALE

OG OSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORIC CONTROL		-	GATI' ONTRO			SE LI	EVEL MG/KG
		1	225/134=	1.7	33/	14=	2.4	15/	10=	1.5
		2	206/152=	1.4	50/	14=	3.6 **@@I	37/	13=	2.9
		3	221/156=	1.4	52/	15=	3.5 *@I	34/	15=	2.3 *@I
		4	162/153=	1.1	37/	17=	2.2 **@dI	34/	17,=	2.0 **aai
		5	205/155=	1.3	49/	16=	3.1 **@@I	23/	16=	1.40D
		6	269/168=	1.6	43/	18=	2.4 @I	29/	16=	1.8 @I
		7	264/169=	1.6	68/	17=	4.0 **30I	34/	15=	2.3

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

E AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST ! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, ε , ϑ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, ε , ϑ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, & SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

8,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

STUDY SUBACUTE

And the second of the second o

۲.

AVERAGE RESORPTIONS (DEAD IMPLANTS) PER PREGNANT FEMALE

LOG DOSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
		1	56/134=0.42	14/ 14=1.00	5/ 10=0.50
		2	77/152=0.51	18/ 14=1.29 @I	14/ 13=1.08 *@@I
	·	3	90/156=0.58	16/ 15=1.07	11/ 15=0.74
		4	85/153=0.56	8/ 17=0.48	12/ 17=0.71
		5	96/155=0.62	14/ 16=0.88	13/ 16=0.82
		6	84/168=0.50	17/ 18=0.95 *@@I	16/ 16=1.00 *@I
		7	107/169=0.64	20/ 17=1.18	10/ 15=0.67

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

& AND * = TWO-TAILED TEST
! AND @ = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE !, δ , δ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, δ , δ , * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*, D SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL E,! SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

STUDY SUBACUTE

PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH ONE OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

OG OSE	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
		1	42/134=0.32	7/ 14=0.50	3/ 10=0.30
		2	50/152=0.33	8/ 14=0.58	9/ 13=0.70
		3	55/156=0.36	7/ 15=0.47	8/ 15=0.54
		4	58/153=0.38	6/ 17=0.36	8/ 17=0.48
		5	67/155=0.44	8/ 16=0.50	8/ 16=0.50
		6	58/168=0.35	12/ 18=0.67	10/ 16=0.63
		7	61/169=0.37	7/ 17=0.42	4/ 15=0.27

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO !,* = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

and the first provides grown from all provides grown g

- * SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL
- ! SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

STUDY SUBACUTE

PORPORTION OF FEMALES WITH TWO OR MORE DEAD IMPLANTATIONS

E	ARITH DOSE	WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATIVE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
		1	12/134=0.09	4/ 14=0.29	2/ 10=0.20
		2	14/152=0.10	4/ 14=0.29	4/ 13=0.31
	•	3	22/156=0.15	5/ 15=0.34	2/ 15=0.14
		4	18/153=0.12	2/ 17=0.12	4/ 17=0.24
		5	23/155=0.15	4/ 16=0.25	1/ 16=0.07
		6	20/168=0.12	4/ 18=0.23	5/ 16=0.32
		7	25/169=0.15	7/ 17=0.42	2/ 15=0.14

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIPS AND DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

ONE !, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05 TWO !, * = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

^{*} SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

[!] SIGNIFICANT LINEAR RELATIONSHIP WITH ARITH OR LOG DOSE (HEADING OF COLUMN)

TABLE VIII
STUDY SUBACUTE

DEAD IMPLANTS / TOTAL IMPLANTS

WEEK	HISTORICAL CONTROL	NEGATI VE CONTROL	DOSE LEVEL 5000. MG/KG
1	56/1630=0.03	14/162=0.09	5/121=0.04
2	77/1904=0.04	18/182=0.10	14/165=0.08 *aI
3	90/1864=0.05	16/192=0.08	11/180=0.06
4	85/1791=0.05	8/207=0.04	12/209=0.06
5	96/1874=0.05	14/205=0.07	13/197=0.07
6	84/2021=0.04	17/216=0.08 *aI	16/188=0.09 *@I
7	107/1954=0.05	20/219=0.09	10/194=0.05

SYMBOLS ON FIRST LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE NEGATIVE CONTROL GROUP

SYMBOLS ON SECOND LINE DENOTE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES USING THE HISTORICAL CONTROL GROUP

* = TWO-TAILED TEST a = ONE-TAILED TEST

ONE *, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.05
TWO *, a = SIGNIFICANT AT P LESS THAN 0.01

*.a SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM CONTROL

APPENDICES

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Animal Husbandry

Animals (Rats and Mice)

Ten to twelve week old rats (280 to 350 g) and male mice (25 to 30 g) were fed a commercial 4% fat diet and water ad libitum until they were put on experiment. Flow Laboratories random-bred, closed colony, Sprague-Dawley CD strain rats were used in the cytogenetic studies. Flow Laboratories ICR male mice were employed in the Host-Mediated Assay.

2. Preparation of Diet

A commercial 4% fat diet was fed to all animals. Periodic tests to verify the absence of coliforms, <u>Salmonella</u> and <u>Pseudomonas</u> sp. were performed.

3. Husbandry

Animals were held in quarantine for 4-11 days. Mice were housed five to a cage and rats one to five to a cage. Animals were identified by ear punch. Sanitary cages and bedding were used, and changed two times per week, at which time water containers were cleaned, sanitized and filled. Once a week, cages were repositioned on racks; racks were repositioned within rooms monthly. Personnel handling animals or working within animal facilities wore head coverings and face masks, as well as suitable garments. Individuals with respiratory or other overt infections were excluded from the animal facilities.

B. Dosage Determination

1. Acute LD_{50} and LD_{5} Determination Since the compounds proposed for testing are included in

the food additive regulations as "generally recognized as safe" (GRAS), it was expected that a large number of them would be sufficiently non-toxic so that determination of a LD_{50} or a LD_{5} would be of no practical value. In fact, this has been our experience with previously tested compounds from this list. In the case of these relatively non-toxic compounds, attempts were made to assure that the amounts to be administered would not affect the animals by means (mechanical, physical, etc.) related to their bulk rather than to their toxicity. In the cases of certain compounds where a LD_{50} or a LD_{5} could not be determined, an exceedingly high concentration, 5 g/kg, was employed and accepted as the LD_{5} level. In cases where the toxicity was high enough to allow determination of a LD_{5} , the following protocol was used.

Thirty rats of the strain chosen for studies described below and of approximately the age and weight specified were assigned at random to six groups. Each group was then given, using the chosen route of administration, one of a series of dosages of the test compound following a logarithmic dosage scheme. The series of dosages were derived from a consideration of whatever toxicity information was available for the particular test compound. The objective in selecting dosages was to choose values which would cause mortalities between 10% and 90%.

When information was inadequate to derive a suitable series of dosages, five rats were used to identify the proper range. Each of these was given one of a widely spaced (differing by 10X) series of doses. This was confidently expected to suffice for derivation of the series of dosages to be used in the LD_{50} determination.



The mortalities observed when the series of dosages were given to the 30 rats were then subjected to a probit analysis and calculation of LD_{50} , LD_{5} , slope and confidence limits by the method of Litchfield and Wilcoxon. The highest dose level used was either a finite LD_{5} or 5000 mg/kg. The intermediate level used was either 1/10 of the finite LD_{5} or 2500 mg/kg. The low level used was either 1/100 of the finite LD_{5} or 30 mg/kg.

2. Subacute Studies

Subacute doses were identical to those used in the acute studies. Each subacute study animal was given the acute dosage once a day for each of five consecutive days (24 hours apart).

C. Mutagenicity Testing Protocols

Host-Mediated Assay

Flow Laboratories ICR random-bred male mice were used in this study. In the acute and subacute studies ten animals, 25-30 g each, were employed at each dose level. Solvent and positive controls were run at all times. The positive control (dimethyl nitrosamine) was run by the acute system only at a dose of 100 mg/kg for Salmonella. For yeast, ethyl methane sulfonate (EMS) intramuscularly injected at a dose of 350 mg/kg was used. The solvents used and the toxicity data are presented in the Results and Discussion Section of the report.

The indicator organisms used in this study were: (1) two histidine auxotrophs (his G-46, TA-1530) of <u>Salmonella typhimurium</u>, and (2) a diploid strain (D-3) of <u>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</u>. The induction of reverse mutation was determined with the <u>Salmonella</u>; mitotic recombination was determined with yeast. Chemicals were evaluated directly by <u>in vitro</u> bacterial and yeast studies prior to, or concurrent with, the studies in



Only animals on the subacute studies were not fed the evening prior to compound administration. The Salmonella were carried in tryptone yeast extract gel, transferred weekly. They were transferred to tryptone yeast extract broth 48 hours before use: they were transferred a second time from broth to broth 24 hours prior to use, and again 8 hours before use. The mouse inoculum was prepared by transferring 4 ml of the 8-hour broth culture to 50 ml broth bottles which had been prewarmed at 37°C. Exponential log-phase organisms were inoculated intraperitoneally into the mice approximately 2-1/2 hours later when the appropriate density indicating 3.0 \times 10⁸ cells/ml was reached. The Saccharomyces was carried in yeast complete agar. The inoculum was prepared by harvesting the organisms from the surface of the plates with sterile saline. The cells were washed three times with sterile saline and suspended in a concentration of 5.0 \times 10⁸ cells/ml. Two ml of the suspension was inoculated into each mouse intraperitoneally. Total plate counts on <u>Salmonella</u> were on tryptone yeast extract and for <u>Saccharomyces</u> on yeast complete medium.

a. Acute study

Three dosage levels (usage, intermediate [determined as discussed previously], and LD_5) were administered orally by intubation to ten mice. Positive controls and negative vehicle controls were included in each study. All animals received 2 ml of the indicator organism intraperitoneally. Each ml contained 3.0 x 10^8 cells for Salmonella and 5.0 x 10^8 cells for Saccharomyces. Three hours later, each animal was killed and 2 ml of sterile saline was introduced intraperitoneally. As much fluid as possible was then aseptically removed from the peritoneal cavity. Dilution blanks for bacteria containing 4.5 ml of serile saline were prepared in advance. Tenfold serial



dilutions were made of each peritoneal exudate (0.5 ml exudate + 4.5 ml saline) yielding a concentration series from 10^0 (undiluted peritoneal exudate) through 10^{-7} . For enumeration of total bacterial counts, the 10^{-6} and 10^{-7} dilutions were plated on tryptone yeast extract agar, 3 plates/sample, 0.2 ml sample/ plate. Each sample was spread over the surface of the plate using a bent glass rod immersed in 95% ethanol and flamed just prior to use. In plating for the total mutant counts on minimal agar, the 10^0 dilution was used, 0.2 ml being plated on each of 5 plates. The plating procedure was identical to that followed for the tryptone yeast extract agar plates. All plates were incubated at 37°C, tryptone yeast extract agar plates for 18 hours and minimal agar plates for 40 hours. For yeast mitotic recombination, dilution blanks containing 4.5 ml of sterile saline were prepared in advance. Tenfold serial dilutions were made of each sample yielding a series from 10^0 to 10^{-5} . Samples of 0.1 ml of the 10^{-5} , 10^{-4} , and 10^{-3} dilutions were removed and plated on complete medium (10 plates each). All plates were incubated at 30° C for 40 hours. The 10^{-5} dilutions were used to determine total populations and the 10^{-4} and 10^{-3} plates were examined after an additional 40 hours at 4°C for red sectors indicating a mutation. Bacterial scoring was calculated as follows:

Total mutants on 5 plates x appropriate exponent = CFU/ml (CFU is Colony Forming Units) of sample plated CFU/ml x one/dilution factor ($10^{0} - 10^{-7}$) = CFU/ml in undiluted exudate. The mutation frequency (MF) calculated for each sample was:

 $MF = \frac{total\ mutant\ cells}{total\ population}$

 $MFt/MFc = \frac{MF \text{ of experimental sample}}{MF \text{ of control sample}}$

(MFt/MFc = 1.00 for control sample)



Yeast mitotic recombinants (presumptive <u>ade 2</u>, <u>his 8</u> homozygotes) were seen as red colonies or as red sectors on a normally white yeast colony. The plates (from 10^{-4} and 10^{-3} dilutions) were scanned under the 10X lens of a dissecting scope to enumerate the red colonies and sectors. Population determinations were made from the 10^{-5} dilution plates. A recombinant frequency (RF) was calculated:

RF = total recombinants counted total number colonies screened

b. Subacute study

Similar groups of animals at each dose level received five oral doses of the test compound 24 hours apart. Within 30 minutes after the last dosing, the animals were inoculated with the test organism and handled in the same fashion as those in the acute study.

c. <u>In vitro</u> study

Gultures of <u>S</u>. <u>typhimurium</u> histidine auxotrophs

(G-46 and TA-1530) were plated on appropriate media. The test compound was then added to the plate, either in the form of a microdrop of solution (0.01 to 0.25 ml) applied to a small filter paper disc resting on the agar or a small crystal applied directly to the agar. Tenfold serial dilutions of the culture were employed and plated so as not to miss the optimum cell density for mutant growth. Mutant colonies were observed and scored. Strain D-3 <u>Saccharomyces</u> cells at proper dilutions were shaken with the test compound, diluted, and plated at 50% survival level or above (see HMA Supplementary Materials and Methods). Red sectors were then scored and the frequency calculated after suitable incubation. Negative and positive controls were run concurrently. The positive control was EMS for <u>Salmonella</u> and <u>Saccharomyces</u>. The <u>in vitro Salmonella</u> tests were reported



as (+) or (-) or questionable; the <u>in vitro Saccharomyces</u> tests were reported as sample concentrations, percent survival, and recombinants/ 10^5 survivors. For the <u>Saccharomyces</u> a 50% survival level, e.g., an arbitrary 5.0% w/v test level, was used when no LD₅₀ was determinable.

2. Cytogenetic Studies

a. In vivo study

Ten to twelve week old, male, albino rats obtained from a closed colony (random-bred) were used. A total of 59 animals in the acute study and 18 animals in the subacute study was used, as illustrated in the following protocol.

Number of Animals Used

Acute Study

Treatment	Time Kille	d After Admir	nistration
	6 Hours	24 Hours	48 Hours
High Level	5	5	· 5
Intermediate Level	5	5	5
Low Level	5	5	5
Positive Control	0	0	5
Negative Control	3	3	3

Subacute Study

Five doses 24 hours apart; animals killed 6 hours after last dose.

Treatment	Killed After Administration
High Level	5
Intermediate Level	5
Low Level	5
Negative Control	3

All animals were dosed by gastric intubation.

Four hours after the last compound administration, and two hours prior to killing, each animal was given 4 mg/kg of colcemid intra-



peritoneally in order to arrest the bone marrow cells in C-mitosis. Animals were killed by using CO₂, and the adhering muscle and epiphysis of one femur were removed. The marrow "plug" was removed with a tuberculin syringe and an 18 gauge needle, aspirated into 5 ml of Hanks' balanced salt solution (BSS) in a test tube and capped. The specimens were centrifuged at 1,500 RPM in a table-top centrifuge for 5 minutes, decanted, and 2 ml of hypotonic 0.5% KCl solution was added with gentle agitation to resuspended the cells. The specimens were then placed in a 37°C water bath for 20 minutes in order to swell the cells. Following centrifugation for 5 minutes at 1,500 RPM, the supernatant was decanted and 2 ml of fixative (3:1 absolute methanol:glacial acetic acid) was added. The cells were resuspended in the fixative with gentle agitation, capped, and placed at 4°C for 30 minutes. The specimens were again centrifuged, decanted, 2 ml of prepared fixative was added, and the cells were resuspended and placed at 4°C overnight.

The following day the specimens were again centrifuged, decanted and 0.3 - 0.6 ml of freshly prepared fixative was added to obtain a suitable density. The cells were resuspended and 2 - 3 drops of the suspension were allowed to drop onto a clean, dry slide held at 15° from the horizontal. As the suspension flowed to the edge of the slide, it was ignited by an alcohol burner and allowed to flame. Following ignition, the slides were allowed to dry at room temperature overnight. Duplicate slides were prepared. The slides were stained using a 5% Giemsa solution (Giemsa buffer pH 7.2) for 20 minutes, rinsed in acetone, 1:1 acetone:xylene, and placed in fresh xylene for 30 minutes. The slides were then mounted using Permount (Fisher Scientific) and 24 x 50 mm coverglasses. The coverglasses were selected to be 0.17 mm \pm 0.005 mm in thickness by use of a coverglass micrometer. The preparations



were examined using Leitz Ortholux I & II microscopes with brightfield optics and xenon light sources. These specimens were scanned with 10X and 24X objectives and suitable metaphase spreads that were countable were then examined critically using 40X, 63X or 100X oil immersion flatfield apochromatic objectives. Oculars were either 12X or 16X widefield periplanatics and the tube magnification either 1X or 1.25X. The filters used were either a didymium (BG20) or a Schott IL570 m μ interference filter.

The chromosomes of each cell were counted and only diploid cells were analyzed. They were scored for chromatid gaps and breaks, chromosome gaps and breaks, reunions, cells with greater than ten aberrations, polyploidy, pulverization, and any other chromosomal aberrations which were observed. They were recorded on the currently used forms and expressed as percentages on the summary sheets. Fifty metaphase spreads were scored per animal. Mitotic indices were obtained by counting at least 500 cells and the ratio of the number of cells in mitosis/the number of cells observed was expressed as the mitotic index.

Positive controls in the acute study consisted of animals which had been given the known mutagen Triethylene Melamine (TEM) administered intraperitoneally at a level of 0.30 mg/kg. Negative controls on the acute and subacute studies consisted of the vehicle in which the compound was administered. The dosage levels, solvents and toxicity data are included in the Results and Discussion Section of the report.

b. In vitro study

Human embryonic lung cultures (WI-38) which were negative for adventitious agents (viruses, mycoplasma) which may interfere



were used. These cells were employed at passage level 19. The cells had been transferred using 0.025% trypsin and planted in 32 oz. prescription bottles containing 40 ml of tissue culture medium. When growth was approximately 95% confluent the cells were removed from the glass using trypsin, centrifuged, and frozen in tissue culture medium containing dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Cells were frozen in vials in the vapor phase of liquid nitrogen at a concentration of 2 \times 10^6 cells/ml. When needed, the vials were removed from liquid nitrogen, quick-thawed in a 37°C water bath, washed free of DMSO, suspended in tissue culture medium (minimal essential medium [MEM] plus 1% glutamine, 200 units/ml of penicillin and 200 $\mu g/ml$ of streptomycin and 15% fetal calf serum) and planted in milk dilution bottles at a concentration of 5×10^5 cells/ml. The test compound was added at three dose levels using three bottles for each level, 24 hours after planting. The dose levels required a preliminary determination of a tissue culture toxicity. This was accomplished by adding logarithmic doses of the compound in saline to a series of tubes containing 5 \times 10 5 cells/ml which were almost confluent. The cells were examined at 24, 48, and 72 hours. Any cytopathic effect (CPE) or inhibition of mitoses was scored as toxicity. Five more closely spaced dose levels were employed within the two logarithmic dosages, the higher of which showed toxicity and the lower no effect. The solvents used and the range finding data are presented in the toxicity data report under Results and Discussion. The dose level below the lowest toxic level was employed as the high level. Logarithmic dose levels were employed for the medium and low levels.

Cells were incubated at 37°C and examined twice daily to determine when an adequate number of mitoses were present. Cells were harvested by shaking when sufficient mitoses were observed, usually 24 - 48



hours after planting, centrifuged, and fixed in absolute methanol:glacial acetic acid (3:1) for 30 minutes.

The specimens were centrifuged, decanted, and suspended in acetic acid-orcein stain (2.0%) and a drop of suspension placed on a clean dry slide. Selected coverglasses 0.17 mm in thickness were placed on the suspension and the excess stain gently expressed from the slide. The coverglasses were sealed with clear nail polish and examined immediately.

The microscopes, objectives, oculars, filters and light sources were enumerated under the metaphase description. Positive controls used were TEM (at a concentration of 0.1 mcg/ml dissolved in saline) and negative controls which consisted of the vehicle in which the test compound was dissolved, which was 0.85% saline. Data were reported on forms currently used and expressed as percentages on the anaphase summary sheets.

3. Dominant Lethal Assay

In this test, male and female random bred rats from a closed colony were employed. These animals were 10-12 weeks old at the time of use. Ten male rats were assigned to each of 5 groups; 3 dose levels selected as described above, a positive control (triethylene melamine) (TEM) and a negative control (solvent only). The positive control was administered intraperitoneally. Administration of the test compound was orally by intubation in both the acute study (1 dose) and in the subacute study (1 dose per day for 5 days). Following treatment, the males were sequentially mated to 2 females per week for 8 weeks (7 weeks in the subacute study). Two virgin female rats were housed with a male for 5 days (Monday through Friday). These two females were removed and housed in a cage until killed. The male was rested on Saturday and Sunday and two new females introduced to the cage on



Monday. It has been our experience that conception has taken place in more than 90% of the females by Friday and that the two day rest is beneficial to the male as regards subsequent weekly matings. Females were killed using ${\rm CO}_2$ at 14 days after separating from the male, and at necropsy the uterus was examined for deciduomata (early deaths), late fetal deaths and total implantations.

Sufficient animals were provided in our experimental design to accommodate for any reduction in the number of conceptions. Each male was mated with two females per week, and this provided for an adequate number of implantations per group per week (200 minimum) for negative controls, even if there was a fourfold reduction in fertility of implantations. Results were analyzed according to the statistical procedures described in Supplementary Materials and Methods. Corpora lutea, early fetal deaths, late fetal deaths and total implantations per uterine horn were recorded on the raw data sheets, which are submitted separately.

- D. Supplementary Materials and Methods
 - Host-Mediated Assay <u>In Vitro</u> and Formulae
 - a. Bacterial <u>in vitro</u> plate tests

This method has been published by Ames: The Detection of Chemical Mutagens with Enteric Bacteria, in <u>Chemical Mutagens</u>; <u>Principles and Methods for Their Detection</u>, Vol. 1, Chapter 9, pp. 267-282, A. Hollaender, Editor, Plenum Press, New York (1971).

- b. <u>In vitro</u> for mitotic recombination
- (1) Strain D-3 was grown to stationary phase on complete medium agar plates at 30° C (3-4 days). Cells were rinsed from the plates and washed twice in saline and cell concentration determined spectro-



photometrically. (A standard curve previously determined for colony forming units versus % transmittance at 545 mu was easily used.)

- (2) Cells from the concentration suspension were diluted appropriately into 0.067 M Phosphate buffer pH 7.2 to provide 5×10^7 cells/ml in a total of 25 ml.
- (3) The test chemical was first tested for 4 hours at 30°C, with shaking, at concentrations which permitted determination of the 50% survival level. Then, if not included in the first experiment, the compound was tested again only at the 50% survival level. If 50% survival level could not be determined, the arbitrary test level of 5% w/v was used.
- Following treatment, cells were diluted and plated on complete agar medium for determination of total population and red sectors. Total surviving population was conveniently measured on plates of 10^{-4} and 10^{-5} dilutions using 0.2 ml per plate (5 plates), and sectors determined on plates of 10^{-3} and 10^{-4} dilutions using 0.2 ml per plate (5 plates). Plates were incubated for 2 days at 30°C followed by a holding period of 2 days at 4°C to promote color development with limited enlargement of the colonies. Red sectors were scored by systematically scanning the plates with a dissecting microscope at 10X magnification.
- (5) The frequency of red sectors can then be calculated and may be expressed conveniently as sectors per 10^5 survivors for comparison with untreated controls.
- (6) Ethyl Methane Sulfonate (EMS) was employed as the positive control in both <u>in vitro</u> systems.
 - c. Minimal medium (bacteria):
 Spizizen's Minimal Medium:



4X Salt Solution:

(NH₄) SO₄

8.0 gm

 K_2HPO_4

56.0 gm

KH2P04

24.0 gm

Na Citrate

4.0 gm

 $Mg SO_A$

0.8 gm

Biotin

0.004 gm

H₂0

qs to 1 liter Sterilize by autoclaving (121°C/15 min.)

Medium:

4X Salt Solution

:250 ml

5.0% Glucose (sterile)

:100 ml (If histidine is added at concentration of 30 mg/liter, this becomes

a complete bacterial

medium.)

1.5% Bacto-agar (sterile)

:650 ml

d. Complete medium (bacteria):

Bacto-Tryptone

1.0 gm

Yeast-Extract ·

0.5 gm

Bacto-Agar

2.0 gm

Distilled H₂0

100.0 ml

Sterilize by autoclaving (121°C for 15 minutes).

Complete medium (yeast): e.

 KH_2PO_4

1.5 gm

MgS0₄

0.5 gm

 $(NH_4)_2SO_4$

4.5 gm



 Peptone
 3.5 gm

 Yeast-Extract
 5.0 gm

 Glucose
 20.0 gm

 Agar
 20.0 gm

 Distilled H20
 1000.0 ml

Sterilize by autoclaving (121°C for 15 minutes).

 Cytogenetics <u>In Vitro</u> Preparation of Anaphase Chromosomes (from Nichols, 1970)

"Anaphase preparations may be made by several methods. One convenient approach is to grow cells directly on coverslips in petri dishes. With human fibroblasts 400,000 cells added to a 22 x 44 mm coverslip in a 50 mm petri dish grown in a 5% ${\rm CO}_2$ atmosphere in air has proved very satisfactory. When adequate numbers of mitoses are visualized directly utilizing an inverted microscope (usually 48 to 92 hours after planting) the coverslip is transferred to absolute ethanol for 15 minutes for fixation. They are then stained with any one of a number of suitable stains (Fuelgen, May-Grunwald-Giemse, orcein) and attached to a slide with mounting media for evaluation. Anaphase preparations may also be prepared on cells grown in suspension or cells from a monolayer that have been put into suspension. In this instance the cells are centrifuged and fixed with the squash fixative. They are then suspended in the stain and a drop of the suspension put on the slide and covered with a coverslip. However, in this case, only the excess stain is gently expressed from under the coverslip and no squashing is carried out. In anaphase preparations no pretreatment with colchicine or hypotonic expansion is used and no technique for spreading the cells is used, so that the spindle and normal relationships of the chromosomes are not disturbed."



- 3. Statistical Analyses of Dominant Lethal Studies

 The following statistical analyses were employed as a means of analyzing the results of the dominant lethal studies.
 - a. The fertility index

The number of pregnant females/number of mated females with the chi-square was used to compare each treatment to the control. Armitage's trend was used for linear proportions to test whether the fertility index was linearly related to arithmetic or log dose.

b. Total number of implantations

The t-test was used to determine significant differences between average number of implantations per pregnant female for each treatment compared to the control. Regression techniques were used to determine whether the average number of implantations per female was related to the arithmetic or log dose.

- c. Total number of <u>corpora lutea</u>

 The t-test was used to determine significant differences between average number of <u>corpora lutea</u> per pregnant female for each treatment compared to the control.
 - d. Preimplantation losses

Preimplantation losses were computed for each female by subtracting the number of implantations from the number of corpora lutea. Freeman-Tukey transformation was used on the preimplantation losses for each female and then the t-test was used to compare each treatment to control. Regression technique was used to determine whether the average number of preimplantation losses per female was related to the arithmetic or log dose.



e. Dead implants

Dead implants were treated the same as pre-

implantation losses.

f. One or more dead implants

The proportion of females with one or more dead implants was computed, each treatment compared to control by chi-square test and Armitage's trend used for linear proportions to see if proportions were linearly related to either arithmetic or log dose. Also, probit regression analysis was used to determine whether the probit of the proportions was related to log dose.

- g. Two or more dead implants

 The proportion of females with two or more dead implants computed was treated same as above (f).
- h. Dead implants per total implants

 Dead implants per total implants were computed for each female and used Freeman-Tukey arc-sine transformation on data for each female; then used t-test to compare each treatment to control.

Historical control data was compiled on a continuous basis as studies were completed. In addition to comparing each treatment to control, as outlined above, each treatment was compared to a historical control.

In order to take variation between males into account, a nested model was used. An analysis of across weeks is also provided.

In addition to these tests, the distribution forms of the various parameters were tested in order to evaluate the appropriateness of some of the tests being used. Certain correlations between parameters may exist and were examined as one step to determine the appropriateness of models. If necessary, alternate test methods were implemented.



The results are presented in tabular form with the addition of historical control information. In addition to these tables, a written report of all findings is provided. As information became available from the on-going investigation of these data, it was reported and suggestions included for changes to the methods of analysis. The statistical reports give the level of significance using both a one-tailed and two-tailed test. Finally, a summary sheet for each study is provided.



Females within !ales within Groups

UMPT IONS:

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$$
, Ci; $\sim \text{nid}(0, 0^2)$,

Males are randomly drawn from infinite population

<u> </u>	d.f.	<u> </u>	MS	E(MS)	7-
TOTAL	39	552 (Yijk - Y)2		·	T
GROUPS		20E (Ji J)2	SP	67+2627+20202	153
WITHIN GROUPS	.18	azz (ŸüŸi)	5,2	02+202	200
EMAINDER	20	EZZ(Yik- 7:1)2	5,2	0,	

E. References

- Host-Mediated Assay
 - a. Gabridge, M.G., Denunzio, A. and Legator, M.S.:
 Nature, 221:68, 1969.
 - Gabridge, M.G., Denunzio, A. and Legator, M.S.:Science, 163:689, 1969.
 - c. Gabridge, M.G. and Legator, M.S.: Proc. Soc. Exptl. Biol. Med., 130:831, 1969.
 - d. Gabridge, M.G., Oswald, E.J. and Legator, M.S.: Mut. Res., 7:117, 1969.
 - e. Legator, M.S. and Malling, H.V.: In, <u>Environmental</u>

 <u>Chemical Mutagens</u>, A. Hollaender (Ed.), Plenum

 Publishing Corp., New York, in press.

2. Cytogenetics

- a. Nichols, V.W.: Personal communication.
- b. Legator, M.S.: In, <u>Laboratory Diagnosis of Diseases</u> <u>Caused by Toxic Agents</u>, F. W. Sunderman and F. W. Sunderman (Ed.), Warren H. Green, Inc., St. Louis, pp. 17-22, 1970.
- c. Hsu, T.C. and Patton, J.L.: Technical Addendum in,

 <u>Comparative Mammalian Cytogenetics</u>, K. Benirschke

 (Ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, pp. 454-460, 1969.
- d. Legator, M.S. et al.: Cytogenetic studies in rats of cyclohexylamine, a metabolite of cyclamate. Science, <u>165</u>:1139, 1969.

Dominant Lethal

- a. Bateman, A.J.: Genet. Res. Comb., <u>1</u>:381, 1960.
- b. Bateman, A.J.: Nature, <u>210</u>:205, 1966.
- c. Ehling, U.H., Cumming, R.B. and Malling, H.V.: Mut. Res., <u>5</u>:417, 1968.
- d. Epstein, S.S. and Shafner, H.: Nature, <u>219</u>:
 385, 1968.

F. Abbreviations

- 1. mu = micron
- 2. mcg = ug = microgram
- 3. g = gram
- 4. kg = kilogram
- 5. ml = milliliter
- 6. rpm = revolutions per minute
- 7. °C = degrees centigrade
- 8. pH = power of the hydrogen ion concentration to the base 10
- 9. M = molar solution
- 10. conc. = concentration
- 11. MTD = maximum tolerated dosage = High = LD_5 if determined or else exceedingly high dose, such as 5 g/kg
- 12. INT = intermediate = medium level
- 13. USE = usage level if known = low level
- 14. BSS = balanced salt solution
- 15. C-metaphase = cells arrested in metaphase, using colchine or colcemid
- 16. LD_{50} = that dosage which produced 50% mortality in the group of animals treated
- 17. LD_5 = that dosage which produced 5% mortality in the group of animals treated
- 18. NC = negative control
- 19. PC = positive control
- 20. AU = acute usage level (low level)
- 21. AI = acute intermediate level (medium level)



- 23. SAU = subacute usage level (low level)
- 24. SAI = subacute intermediate level (medium level)
- 25. SA LD_5 = subacute LD_5 level (MTD level, high level)
- 26. CO_2 = carbon dioxide
- 27. DMN = Dimethyl nitrosamine
- 28. EMS = Ethyl methane sulfonate
- 29. TEM = Triethylene melamine
- 30. DMSO = Dimethyl sulfoxide
- 31. MEM = minimal essential medium (Eagle's)
- 32. CPE = cytopathic effect
- 33. his = histidine marker
- 34. D-3 = mitotic recombinant strain of Saccharomyces
- 35. mf = mean mutant frequency
- 36. MFt/MFc = mean mutant frequency of the test compound group compared to mean mutant frequency of the negative control group
- 37. CFU = colony forming units
- 38. WI-38 = code name for a strain of human embryonic lung
 tissue culture cells
- 39. Rec x 10^5 = mitotic recombinants x 10^5
- 40. Mean B/A = mean frequency
- 41. tot. scr. = total scored
- 42. tot. = total
- 43. χ^2 = a test of variation in the data from the computed regression line tested in these studies at the 5% level
- 44. Aber. = aberrations
- 45. Frag. = fragment
- 46. HMA = host-mediated assay

